



# ETSN15 2024 Requirements Engineering

### Lecture 5: Prototyping [PROTO1&2] & Agile RE [AGRE]

Elizabeth Bjarnason Björn Regnell http://www.cs.lth.se/krav

# **Requirements change**

- Constantly
- Sometimes quickly!
- Why?
  - We learn
  - Changed needs & priorities by users & other stakeholders
  - Tech development
  - Competition
  - Time-to-market pressures



### Underlying assumptions $\rightarrow$ agile RE

The Agile Manifesto, <u>http://agilemanifesto.org/</u>, 2001

### **Extensive documentation**

Costly

- <sup>-</sup> Time consuming
- RE competence REQUIRED
- Dev stakeholder interaction NOT required

### Light-weight / agile RE



Cheaper - initially



REQUIRED

RE competence **nice-to-have** 

Dev – stakeholder interaction

### "We don't do requirements. We are agile."

### Wrong! Exactly all projects need & have requirements == ideas/decisions of what the product should do

In Agile projects, some requirements are documented

- as traditional requirements
- as user stories & acceptance criteria
- as backlog entries
- as test cases
- combo of "requirements" and other artefacts

Many requirements are **NOT** documented (can be risky)

# **RE in Agile Projects**

Practices [AGRE]

- Iterative RE: Gradual detailing
- Work order
  - *Extreme prioritization*: Just-in-time
  - Constant planning
- Integrated RE:
  - Dev roles more involved in RE
  - Face-to-face communication
  - Reviews & tests
  - Prototyping
  - Test-driven development

#### Level of detail at dev start



# The Practice of Prototyping [PROTO1 & 2]

#### Prototyping

use of a prototype to explore, communicate, and evaluate potential solutions

Prototype

early **sample**, **model**, **or release**, which **simulates** aspects of the final product and enables cost effective **testing with real users** 

Communicate
 Validate
 Elicit



requirements, goals, ideas, priorities, ... PURPOSE, SCOPE, USE, STRATEGY

# PURPOSE of Prototyping [PROT01]



#### PURPOSE

**Exploration & learning** 

Communication: sales, alignment

Incremental development

Quality improvement

Validation & Testing

- Fit: Problem-solution, Product-market
- Technical feasibility
- Usability testing

#### Advice:

- Consider your **purposes** with prototyping and for each prototyping instance
- Select **scope**, **media**, and **use** of prototype to match purpose

# SCOPE & MEDIA of Prototype [PROT01]

10	SCOPE					
<complex-block></complex-block>	Breadth of functionali					
	Functional refinement					
	Visual appearance					
	Interactive & haptic b					
	Data realism	MEDIA				
		Sketch: paper or computer-based				
		Wireframe: paper or computer-based				
		Mock-up: paper or computer-based				
		Source-code software				
		Other: video, interview				

#### Advice:

- Consider which functional breadth and refinement, visual appearance and interactive behaviour that is needed for your purposes
- Balance the costs of prototype building (affected by Scope and Media) against possible benefits

# **USE of Prototype [PROT01]**





#### **USE of prototype**

Reviewers: internal, FFF (family-foes-friends), external

Prototype interaction: yes, no (demo)

Review approach: scenario-based, free

Usage environment

#### Advice:

- Select reviewers that represent stakeholders and user categories that can provide feedback needed for chosen purposes
- Design review approach and interaction to align with purpose and focus of prototyping
- Select usage environment to match purpose

# **Exploration STRATEGY [PROT01]**



Single vs Parallel exploration

#### Advice

- Consider the size of potential solution space and select suitable type of exploration and iteration size
- In early stages, consider parallel exploration, when more certain, switch to single exploration
- Match prototype scope, media and use to the iteration focus, and align with purpose

### Prototyping Aspects Model Summary [PROTO1]

#### PURPOSE of Prototyping

Exploration & learning Communication: sales, alignment Incremental development Quality improvement Validation & Testing problem-solution / product-market fit, technical feasibility, usability testing

#### SCOPE of Prototype

Breadth of functionality

Functional refinement

Visual appearance

Interactive & haptic behaviour

Data realism

#### Prototype MEDIA

Sketch: paper or computer-based

Wireframe: paper or computer-based

Mock-up: paper of computer-based

Source-code software

Other: video, interview

#### USE of prototype

Reviewers: internal, FFF, external Prototype interaction: yes, no (demo) Review approach: scenario-based free Usage environment **Exploration STRATEGY** Single vs parallel exploration Iteration focus: Business, product, feature, optimisation Iteration size



This Photo by Unknown Author is licensed under <u>CC BY-ND</u>

# Prototyping in SW Startups [PROTO2]

- Natural part of product development
- Early stages
  - Sketches & mock-ups
  - Internal use
  - Elict, test & communicate ideas & requirements
- Later stages
  - Interactive mock-ups and early product versions
  - External use with customers and sponsors
  - Communicate & validate product proposals
  - Elicit further ideas & requirements

Sketches were not immediately mentioned when asked about prototyping. But several startups use simpler drawings and visualization in the early stages of product development to test and communicate their ideas.

# **Prototyping in Startups [PROTO2]**



Implicitly required for funding. Want to show that...

- Customers willing to pay
- Market & business viability
- Trust & Confidence in startup's ability
- $\rightarrow$  Startups prefer prototypes with a broad & refined scope
- $\rightarrow$  increases cost
- **Need to consider Cost-Benefit!**

#### Optional Video on Prototyping in practice from 2022: https://canvas.education.lu.se/courses/28212/pages/I5a-prototyping-2022



### Hampus Jakobsson

Angel investor in > 100 companies Now: Pale Blue Dot, \$100m climate-tech fund *Previous*: LTH, built & scaled 2 startups

## Paper [AGRE]

Agile Requirements Engineering Practices: An Empirical Study

by Balasubramaniam Ramesh and Lan Cao

In: IEEE Software, pp. 60-67, January/February 2008



# **RE in Agile Projects [AGRE]**

#### Practices

- Iterative RE: Gradual detailing
- Work order
  - *Extreme prioritization*: Just-in-time
  - Constant planning
- Integrated RE:
  - Dev roles more involved in RE
  - Face-to-face communication
  - Reviews & tests
  - Prototyping
  - Test-driven development

#### Level of detail at dev start



### **Agile RE practices in 16 companies**

	Practice						
Adoption level	Face-to-face communication	Iterative RE	Extreme prioritization	Constant planning	Prototyping	Test-driven development	Reviews & tests
High	8	9	10	8	8	5	11
Medium	8	5	6	6	3	1	4
Low	0	2	0	2	0	0	1
None	0	0	0	0	5	10	0

Organization pseudonym	Industry and products
Enco	Energy and communications. Offers forecasting tools.
HealthCo	Healthcare and utilities. Offers an online service to help customers select health insurance and utility services.
Venture	Across industries. Helps brick-and-mortar companies develop a Web presence.
Entertain	Film and television industry. Offers high-tech indexing and search tools online.
НиСар	Administration. Carries out human-resource administration for other companies online.
TravelAssist	Transport and tourist industry. Offers online services.
ManageRisk	Across several industries. Offers insurance online.
Transport	Transportation and logistics industry.

Transport	Transportation and logistics industry. Offers services online.
ServeIT	Consulting and services. We studied the part of the firm that offers consulting services for business-to-business communication.
HealthInfo	Healthcare information systems. Offers information systems solutions to hospitals, physicians' offices, and home healthcare providers.
SecurityInfo	Security software. Offers software for Internet security.
AgileConsult	Software consulting. Offers consulting services on agile software development.
EbizCo	Packaged software development. Offers e-business connections and transactions.
FinCo	Online financial-transaction support. Offers online payments.
NetCo	Network software consulting. Offers services on developing network systems and architectures.
BankSoft	Banking information systems. Offers software that handles financial transactions.

**Traditional Development Process** 



- Same activities, different sizing and timing
  - $\rightarrow$  Different principles and management approach
  - $\rightarrow$  Different people detailing requirements
  - $\rightarrow$  Different documentation formats

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

• Requirements INTEGRATED in backlog, test cases, design docs etc

# **Continuous Feedback & Transparency**

Business, Management and Development roles involved in

- Sprint planning meeting
- Daily stand-up meetings
- End-of-sprint demo
- Sprint retrospective meetings

![](_page_19_Picture_6.jpeg)

# **User story & Acceptance Criteria**

### User story:

### As a passenger, I can cancel a flight reservation

### Acceptance criteria / test cases

- Verify that a premium member can cancel the same day without a fee
- Verify that a non-premium member is charged 10% for a same-day cancellation
- Verify that an email confirmation is sent
- Verify that the hotel is notified of any cancellation

![](_page_20_Picture_8.jpeg)

Cohn, Mike. *User stories applied: For agile software development*. Addison-Wesley Professional, 2004.

# **Specification of user stories**

- 1. Product Owner/Customer **defines** & **prioritizes** Epics/User stories in **product backlog**
- 2. Team defines details for each user story in sprint backlog
  - 1. Tasks
  - 2. Acceptance criteria & test cases

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

Story CARD Endput lites AC Product Owner As a Product Owner	- Make the cor	n the cess firmation	Hears 4 2		art	17	ATS	al
I want toincrease our website       sign-ups       So that I can create online       campaigns       Priority     @	button bigger	EDD: As a a deta c lock Con	2012, devel ; led res ; ident; ;	oper reaso et fy bun	n me wai so to our	nday nt to rhat rev 2	Chi Ow Chi Ow S Chi Ow S Chi Ow Devision Devision Demoires tuat	а Арр а Арр 10 Арг 10 Арг 12 Ларг 12 Ларг
R 1 liese registration and profile	abrao Shara diku yataa			0			Released to field	16 Apr
2 - Registration Page	enary war creak cards	E up 6	mol					
3 - Signup for offers		Accepted	1	14	14		RELATION 1	nign
E 🗐 4 - Allow admin to enter Item Ca	tegories	In Progress	۳	4	53	19		High
57 - allow print of item catego	ories	New	P	2	17	15	Iteration 14	Undecideo
328 - View most popular iter	ms in each category	In Progress	1	2	36	4		Undecideo
5 - Allow admin to enter Items a	nd prices	To Verify	-P1	8	50		Iteration 3	High
6 - Allow users to enter reviews for items they purchased		Accepted	10	10	69		Iteration 5	High
🖃 📄 7 - Shopping cart	🖃 📄 7 - Shopping cart		-PL	35	166	20		High
8 - Add item to shopping cart		To Verify	-Pi -	6	36		Iteration 6	Medium
9 - Update shopping cart		In Progress	P <sup>1</sup>	8	55	12	Iteration 6	High
10 - Save shopping cart		Accepted	P.	4			Iteration 7	High
22 - Delete item from shoppi	ng cart	To Verify	P	4	13		Iteration 10	Medium

![](_page_21_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Test Cases as Requirements in Agile practice**

Benefits	Challenges					
Elicitation and validation						
EB1 Cross-functional communication	EC1 Good Customer-Developer relationship					
EB2 Align goals & perspectives between roles	EC2 Active customer involvement					
EB3 Address barrier of specifying solutions	EC3 Sufficient technical and RE competence					
EB4 Creativity supported by high-level of requirements	EC4 Complex requirements, e.g. quality requirements					
Verification						
VB1 Supports regression testing	VC1 Varying (biased) results for manual tests					
VB2 Increased requirements quality	VC2 Ensuring correct requirements info to test					
VB3 Test coverage / RET alignment	VC3 Quality requirements					
Tracing						
TB1 Implicit Requirements - test case tracing	TC1 Tool integration					
Managing changes						
MB1 Communication of changes	MC1 Locating impacted requirements					
MB2 Requirement are kept updated	MC2 Missing requirement context					
MB3 Maintaining RET alignment	MC3 Multiple products in one product line					
MB4 Detecting impact of changes						
Customer agreement/contractual						
CB1 Facilitate resolving conflicting views CB2 Support certification of compliance	CC1 Use-case related structuring					

#### Table 7 in ATCR.pdf (optional paper in zip not included in exam)]

Bjarnason, Unterkalmsteiner, Borg, & Engström (2016). *A multi-case study of agile requirements engineering and the use of test cases as requirements.* Information and Software Technology, 77, 61-79.

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Face-to-face communication

Direct communication between customer and development

#### Techniques

User Stories == high-level requirements spec

Complemented by other artifacts, e.g. "backlog"

#### Prerequisites

Active involvement of (knowledgeable) customers

**Customers can steer project Avoids time-consuming documentation** 

Risk of inadequate requirements ntation On-site customer rep is challenging Handling more than one customer Relies on trust rather than agreed requirements

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Iterative RE**

Requirements emerge during development based on initial high-level requirements

Techniques

Requirements analysis and detailing for each development cycle Requirements intertwined with design

Good customer relationshipAccurate cost and scheduling of projectClearer and understandable requirementsNeglect of quality requirementsdue to direct customer interactionLack of documentation beyond dev team

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Extreme Prioritization & Constant Planning

Aim to deliver **most valuable features first Responsive to changes** in customer demands

- Techniques
  - Work on most valuable features first
  - Continuously revise prioritisation & planning (for each iteration)
  - Constant feedback from customer

Customer provides business prio Re-prioritization supported by dev process Early validation minimizes need & cost for major changes Other criteria suffer, e.g. quality Instability in dev work Inadequate architecture and increased costs

**Refactoring requires time and experience** 

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

**Communicate** through prototypes and frequent review meetings **Involves** customers, developers and testers Requirements **validation** and **refinement** through feedback

Techniques
 End-of-sprint sign-off meeting

Efficient validation Assess project status Trust: Customer, Mgmt Early problem identification Risks with evolving prototypes in production Unrealistic expections regarding leadtime Weak formal validation, consistency checks Dev of acc tests require access to customers

# Test-Driven Development

### Developers create test before writing new code Tests specify expected behaviour of code

Tests capture complete requirements Traces to production code facility reqts changes Requires competence in testing, requirements understanding and customer collaboration

Most organizations fail to implement this practice

### **Summary of Benefits & Challenges of Agile RE**

Practices	Benefits	Challenges
Face-to-face communication	<ul> <li>Customers can steer the project</li> <li>No time-consuming documentation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>If no intensive interaction, then bad reqts.</li> <li>On-site customer representation is difficult</li> </ul>
Iterative RE	<ul> <li>Better relationship with the customer</li> <li>More understandable reqts</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cost &amp; Schedule Estimation</li> <li>Lack of documentation</li> <li>Neglect of non-functional requirements</li> </ul>
Extreme prioritization	<ul> <li>Customers provide business reasons</li> <li>Opportunities for reprioritization.</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Business value not enough</li><li>May lead to instability</li></ul>
Constant planning	<ul> <li>Minimizes the need for major changes</li> <li>Cost of addressing a change decreases</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Early architecture becomes inadequate</li> <li>Refactoring isn't always obvious</li> </ul>
Prototyping	<ul> <li>Help communicate with customers to validate and refine requirements</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Risky to deploy prototypes into production</li> <li>Create unrealistic expectations</li> </ul>
Test-driven development	<ul> <li>Gives traceability that make changes easier</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Developers unused to test before coding</li> <li>Requires a thorough understanding of reqts and extensive collaboration between the developer and the customer</li> </ul>
Reviews & acceptance tests	<ul> <li>Help to know if project is on target</li> <li>Increase customer trust and confidence</li> <li>Identify problems early</li> <li>Obtain management support</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No formal model or verification of reqts</li> <li>Consistency checking or formal inspections seldom occur.</li> <li>Difficult if lacking customer access</li> </ul>

### **Pros & Cons of Agile Development**

### Strengths

- quickly delivers working increments
- avoids unnecessary overhead
- short communication paths
- feedback from early stages used in developing latter stages

### Weaknesses

- weak long-term and overall perspective
- weak / missing documentation
- weaker specialist competence

 less structure/guidance for weaker engineers

## To do ...

- Read [AGRE], [PROTO1 & 2], [MDRE], [INTDEP], [RP] [OSSRE]
- Attend Lecture 6: Product mgmt., Rel plan , MDRE, OSSRE
- **Attend Exercise 3** on Functional requirements [Lauesen chapter 3-5]
- Hand in Release R1
- Book meeting with supervisor
- Prepare Lab2 (next week) = Quality requirements (QR) & Release Planning (RP)
  - Please note: Preparations include reading + working, take significantly more time than for lab1, and is based on attending lectures and exercises
- Next week
  - Attend one lecture L7 on Quality Requirements [QUPER, Lau:6-7]:
    - Watch detailed QUPER-video before or after the lecture: <u>https://cs.lth.se/krav/quality-requirements/</u>
    - · Attend Exercise 4 where you work on QR in your project
    - · Attend Lab 2 (bring preparations)