

## Evaluation results – PhD-course in SCM: EDA001F

	5	4	3	2	1	Av.
Overall rating of the course	3	10	0	0	0	4,23
Introduction lecture	5	4	2	0	0	4,27
Participant presentations	1	5	5	0	0	3,64
Developer centred session	2	10	0	0	0	4,17
Traditional SCM session	2	7	4	0	0	3,84
SCM++ session	2	9	1	0	0	4,08
Metaphor exercises	0	1	5	5	1	2,50
SCM plan exercises	0	4	8	0	0	3,33
In-depth project exercises	0	11	1	0	0	3,92
Computer lab sessions	2	7	3	0	0	3,92
Working in groups	4	7	1	0	0	4,25
Course literature	3	9	0	0	0	4,25
Mini project	1	7	2	0	0	3,90
The web pages for the course	1	8	2	0	0	3,91

How do you rate the workload (reading papers, preparing for presentations, course week and mini project):

- too high (please comment) – 1
- adequate – 11
- too low (please comment) – 0

Our current set-up includes one week of course in Lund – an alternative would have been to split that up into five single days with a couple of days between. Would you have preferred such a set-up? YES (1) / NO (11)

### Why/why not:

More concentrated work if one week.  
Easier to focus and keep everything fresh in mind.  
Too much travel. 2 + 3 days could be an alternative.

### Comments/suggestions for improvements:

As the course is placed now, the in-depth study collides with the vacation period.  
Good mix of people from industry and academia.  
More time for discussions and less time for presentations – presentations should also pose discussion questions.  
The metaphors did not work – and were probably not needed due to presence of industry people.  
Metaphors may be suitable for a basic course, but are not needed for a PhD-course.  
Maybe more weight on SCM++ – it seemed to spark good discussions.  
Computer labs had suitable difficulty and depth.

Grading: 5 – excellent; 4 – good; 3 – average; 2 – poor; 1 – unacceptable.