Evaluation form for CM-course EDAN10-ht22

Grading: 5 – excellent; 4 – good; 3 – average; 2 – poor; 1 – unacceptable. Please comment if you give 2 or 1!

	5	4	3	2	1	
Overall rating of the course	7	26	8	2		3,88
Lectures in general	11	19	10	5	1	3,74
How useful were the videos		14	13	4		3,84
Discussion seminars (after videos)	. 5	9	20	9	2	3,13
Workshop (metaphor) exercises		14	18	5		3,62
Other exercises		15	17	3		3,62
CVS lab (setup & material, not the tool)	. 13	23	8	4	1	3,88
Perforce lab (setup & material, not the tool)		21	10	4	1	3,84
git lab (setup & material, not the tool)		25	6	1		4,18
Working in groups		17	2	1		4,51
Course literature		14	15	12		3,40
SCM & DevOps (as a relevant topic)	14	14	18	2		3,83
SCM & PDM (as a relevant topic)		11	22	8	2	3,19
SCM & Agile (as a relevant topic)		20	10			4,17
SCM & Variants (as a relevant topic)		14	17	2		3,83
Industrial presentation(s)		17	10	2		4,07
Paper review		16	13	6	1	3,72
Student Peer Assessment		13	18	8		3,54
Synopsis	11	22	13	4		3,80
Oral examination in group		20	3	1	1	4,34
The web pages for the course		15	13	8	9	2,98
	nuch		some		nothing	
To what extent do you feel that the course						
.1	20	1.0	4	Λ	0	

	mucn		Some		nothing
To what extent do you feel that the course	;				
objectives have been met?	29	16	4	0	0
Did you learn anything new about SCM					
during the oral examination?	22	12	13	1	2

Before signing up for the course, did you consult/read:

18 The CEQ for the course

6 The EDAN10 course own evaluation (this one)

32 The official course plan

16 The course web pages

How did you learn about the CM course:

31 The study plan

13 Other students

3 The course web pages

2 Other – please state how:

Do you expect to work with SCM (in some way) when you finish your education?

33 Yes/probably

4 No/probably not

8 Don't know

The most outstanding paper(s) – and \mathbf{why} :

Babich: 6 Bendix&Vinter: 3 Neely&Stolt (CD): 2 Dart: 1

SCM&Agile: 6 SCM&DevOps: 3 Mahler (variants): 1
Daniels: 4 Milligan: 2 White (ClearCase): 1
Feiler: 3 Kelly: 2 Chen (CD): 1

The most "hated"/difficult/useless paper(s) – and why:

Streamed lines: 7 5 dimensions: 2 Fauzi: 1
Feiler: 6 SCM&DevOps: 1 White: 1

SCM&PDM: 4 Milligan: 1 Daniels: 2 Compton: 1 These are some adjectives that have previously been used to characterise the course – **check 2-3** you find matching:

11 academic15 engaging34 a lot to read11 broad11 important19 different15 educating/lärorik21 useful15 interesting

Write 1-3 adjective that matches your impression of the course and that are not on the list above:

fun (6) thought provoking exiting intellectually engaging intensive preparing heavy interactive

intensive preparing heavy interactive extensive unique historic Lars relevant dansk old-school good

literature-heavy unappreciated theoretical communicative

discussion based fluffy valuable