EDAN65: Compilers
Introduction and Overview

Görel Hedin
Revised: 2023-08-28

Instructors

Lectures

- Prof. Görel Hedin

Programming assignments and lab sessions

- Idriss Riouak
- Anton Risberg Alaküla
- Alexandru Dura
- Alfred Åkesson

Course registration

Confirm that you will take the course by signing list

Register in LADOK this week

Sign up for lab session, by **Thursday, Aug 31**

Prerequisites

- Object-oriented programming, Java
  - Assignment 0 includes some details on Java to fresh up
- Simple algorithms and data structures
  (recursion, trees, lists, hash tables, …)

Course information

Web site: https://cs.lth.se/edan65

- read the Week by Week page to find out what to do each week.
- Lecture slides, readings, assignments, exercises, quizzes
- Material added continuously during the course
- No handouts – print yourself if you want it on paper

Textbook

- A. W. Appel, Jens Palsberg: Modern Compiler Implementation in Java, 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press, 2002, ISBN: 0-521-82060-X
- Available as on-line e-book through Lund University
- Only part of the book is used. Covers only part of the course.

Moodle: Forum, online quizzes, info on extra help sessions.

Course structure

- **Lectures**, Mon 15-17, Tue 13-15 (+ Thu 13-15 week 1)
- **Assignment 0**, for freshing up on Unix, Java, and JUnit, and understanding the build system Gradle. Working with Git. Do on your own.
- **Assignment 1-6**. Mandatory.
  - **Work in pairs**. Form pairs in the break. Or use the forum.
  - **Heavy**. Lab sessions for getting approved and getting help if you are stuck.
  - Wed 8-10, Wed 10-12 Thu 8-10, Thu 10-12. **Sign up by Thursday Aug 31**
  - Lab sessions start next week (but start this week on your work)
  - Assignments **prerequisite for doing exam**
  - **Make sure the TAs note your presence at the lab session.** If you get stuck, you can get your assignment approved the next week (if you were present at the ordinary session). Email Görel for exemption due to illness.
- **Lecture quizzes**
  - Do on your own. (In Moodle.)
- **Exercises**
  - Do on your own or with your partner. (Book exercises without solutions + separate exercises with solutions)
- **Exam** – sign up in advance through the LTH system
  - Exam: Friday, Oct 27, 2023, 14-19. Kårhuset, Gasquesalen
  - Retake: April 2024.

Working with the assignments

- Collaborating with your partner, git repos on coursegit.cs.lth.se (see moodle)
    - in the **same** repository (recommended)
        - sit together, alternate who commits, **commit often**
        - git history should show progression during solving the assignment
        - if you commit from the same account, switch which account you use for the next assignment
    - in **separate** repositories
        - looser collaboration, help each other out if needed, compare solutions to get new insights, sync before lab session
        - **commit often**, git history should show progression during solving the assignment
    - Important: you need hands-on experience from all parts - you should be able to run and explain all parts of the solution

- If you (and your partner) get stuck before your lab session
    - ask on the forum
        - you are encouraged to give answers to other students on the forum
        (for general advice, small examples, but not full solutions)
    - we might add extra online help sessions

- "Self-grading" web service:
Drop in your compiler jar file and run our tests.
Available for labs 2-6.

Estimated typical effort for assignments

A0: Unix, Java, Gradle, Git	1-4 hours	do on your own
A1: Scanning	5 hours	mandatory
A2: Parsing	15 hours	mandatory
A3: Visitors, aspects	12 hours	mandatory
A4: Semantic analysis	18 hours	mandatory
A5: Interpreter	15 hours	mandatory
A6: Code generator	12 hours	mandatory

Student representatives

- **Who?** 2 students.

- **During the course**: listen to your peers. Give feedback to instructor if relevant.

- **After the course**: participate in discussion of course evaluation results (with instructor).

Why learn compiler construction?

Why learn compiler construction?

It is about language tooling

- Understand how the language tools you use work (editors, compilers, transpilers, interpreters, …)
- A compiler is just one example of a language tool. But it includes all the major techniques used for any software language processing.

Languages are everywhere in software

- Many software projects use some kind of domain-specific language, e.g., for configuration or describing input.

Fundamental theory and concepts

- Compiler theory: fundamental to computer science
- Essential for understanding programming languages

A traditional compiler

A traditional compiler

```
┌─────────┐        ┌──────────┐        ┌─────────┐
│ source  │ ──────▶│ compiler │ ──────▶│ assembly│
│  code   │        │          │        │  code   │
└─────────┘        └──────────┘        └─────────┘
```

EXAMPLE:

```
...
csum = a + b + 1;
...
```

compiler

```
            .data
a:          .long   0
b:          .long   0
csum:       .long   0

            .code
            ...
            movl    a, %eax
            addl    b, %eax
            addl    $1, %eax
            movl    %eax, csum
            ...
```

What happens after compilation?

source code → **compiler** → assembly code

What happens after compilation?

memory

- **object code** *contains global symbols and relocatable addresses*

- *in* **executable code** *global symbols and relocatable addresses have been replaced by absolute addresses*

What about Java?

What about Java?

```
┌──────────┐        ┌──────────┐        ┌──────────┐
│  A.java  │ ─────► │  javac   │ ─────► │ A.class  │
│          │        │ compiler │        │          │
└──────────┘        └──────────┘        └──────────┘
                                         class file
                                      (java bytecode)
```

What about Java?

```
A.java   →   javac
             compiler   →   A.class
```

class file
(java bytecode)

EXAMPLE:

```
...
csum = a + b + 1;
...
```

→ javac compiler →

```
...
iload_1
iload_2
iadd
iconst_1
iadd
istore_3
...
```

Running Java code?

A.java → **javac compiler** → A.class

Running Java code?

| A.java | → | **javac compiler** | → | A.class |

memory

activation records	} stack
objects	
	} heap
java VM	} code and data

machine

Running Java code?

A.java → **javac compiler** → A.class

memory

activation records — stack

objects

class loader — heap

java VM — code and data

machine

Running Java code?

A.java → **javac compiler** → A.class

load and verify →

memory

activation records — stack

objects

bytecode

class loader

heap

java VM — code and data

machine

Running Java code?

A.java → **javac compiler** → A.class

load and verify →

memory

activation records — stack

objects — heap

A-object

bytecode

class loader

java VM — code and data

machine

Running Java code?

A.java → **javac compiler** → A.class

load and verify

memory

activation records — stack

objects

machine code
A-object
bytecode — heap
class loader

JIT

java VM — code and data

machine

Running Java code?

A.java → **javac compiler** → A.class

load and verify

memory

activation records — stack

objects

optimized machine code ← optimize

machine code ← heap

A-object

bytecode → JIT

class loader

java VM — code and data

machine

Running Java code?

A.java → **javac compiler** → A.class

*The **java** program contains a **java virtual machine (jvm)**.*
It can:
- *load bytecode to the heap*
- *interpret bytecode*
- *compile bytecode into machine code during execution (JIT – Just-In-Time Compilation)*
- *optimize the machine code*
- *garbage collect the heap*

load and verify

memory

activation records — stack

objects

optimized machine code
machine code
A-object
bytecode
class loader

optimize

heap

JIT

java VM — code and data

machine

Inside the compiler:

- - - - - - - - ↓ - - - - - - - - source code (text)

- - - - - - - - ↓ - - - - - - - - target code (instructions for machine)   26

Inside the compiler:

Each **phase** converts the program from one **representation** to another

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -   source code (text)

Lexical analysis (scanning)

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -   tokens

Syntactic analysis (parsing)

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -   AST (Abstract syntax tree)

Semantic analysis

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -   Attributed AST

Intermediate code generation

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -   intermediate code

Optimization

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -   intermediate code

Target code generation

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -   target code

Inside the compiler:

Each **phase** converts the program from one **representation** to another

- - - - - - - - - - - - source code (text)

Lexical analysis (scanning)

- - - - - - - - - - - - tokens

Analysis

Syntactic analysis (parsing)

- - - - - - - - - - - - AST (Abstract syntax tree)

Semantic analysis

- - - - - - - - - - - - Attributed AST

Intermediate code generation

- - - - - - - - - - - - intermediate code

Synthesis

Optimization

- - - - - - - - - - - - intermediate code

Target code generation

- - - - - - - - - - - - target code

Front and back end:

source code (text)

Lexical analysis (scanning)

tokens

Syntactic analysis (parsing)

AST (Abstract syntax tree)

Semantic analysis

Attributed AST

Intermediate code generation

intermediate code

Optimization

intermediate code

Target code generation

target code

29

Front and back end:

source code (text)

Lexical analysis (scanning)

tokens

Syntactic analysis (parsing)

AST (Abstract syntax tree)

Front end
(independent on target language)

Semantic analysis

Attributed AST

Intermediate code generation

intermediate code

Optimization

intermediate code

Back end
(independent on source language)

Target code generation

target code

30

Front and back end:

Front end
(independent on target language)

Middle end
(independent on both)

Back end
(independent on source language)

source code (text)

Lexical analysis (scanning)

tokens

Syntactic analysis (parsing)

AST (Abstract syntax tree)

Semantic analysis

Attributed AST

Intermediate code generation

intermediate code

Optimization

intermediate code

Target code generation

target code

EDAN65, Lecture 01

31

Multiple languages and target machines

| Language 1 | Language 2 | Language 3 | Language 4 |

| Target 1 | Target 2 | Target 3 | Target 4 | Target 5 |

Multiple languages and target machines

Language 1	Language 2	Language 3	Language 4

| Target 1	Target 2	Target 3	Target 4	Target 5

Multiple languages and target machines


m languages
n target machines
m*n compilers

Is there a smarter way?

Multiple languages and target machines

Language 1 frontend	Language 2 frontend	Language 3 frontend	Language 4 frontend

Target 1 backend	Target 2 backend	Target 3 backend	Target 4 backend	Target 5 backend

Multiple languages and target machines

Language 1 frontend	Language 2 frontend	Language 3 frontend	Language 4 frontend

intermediate representation (IR)

Target 1 backend	Target 2 backend	Target 3 backend	Target 4 backend	Target 5 backend

m language frontends
n target backends
m + n components

LLVM example

```
┌─────────────────────┐   ┌─────────────┐   ┌─────────────┐   ┌─────────────┐
│       Clang         │   │   Fortran   │   │   Haskell   │   │     ...     │
│(frontend for C/C++/ │   │   frontend  │   │   frontend  │   │             │
│       ObjC)         │   │             │   │             │   │             │
└─────────────────────┘   └─────────────┘   └─────────────┘   └─────────────┘
```

- LLVM IR

```
                    ┌───────────────────┐
                    │   LLVM Optimizer   │
                    └───────────────────┘
```

- LLVM IR

```
┌─────────────┐  ┌─────────────┐  ┌─────────────┐  ┌─────────────┐  ┌─────────────┐
│ X86 backend │  │   PowerPC   │  │     ARM     │  │ Interpreter │  │     ...     │
│             │  │   backend   │  │   backend   │  │             │  │             │
└─────────────┘  └─────────────┘  └─────────────┘  └─────────────┘  └─────────────┘
```

LLVM example

Why?
- Implement m front ends + n back ends instead of m * n compilers.
- Many optimizations are best performed on intermediate code.
- Easier to debug the front end using an interpreter than a target machine

# Java example:

Some terminology

- A *compiler* translates code in a high-level language to a lower-level language.
  Examples: Compiling Java source code to Java bytecode. Compiling C source code to assembly code.

- An *interpreter* is software that executes a high/low level program, often by calling one procedure for each program construct. (This is in contrast to executing the program directly on hardware.)
  Example: A Python interpreter reads Python code and runs it.

- A *transpiler* (or source-to-source translator) translates code from one high-level language to another.
  Example: Transpiling Typescript source code to Javascript.

- A *virtual machine (VM)* is an interpreter that executes low-level, usually platform-independent code.
  (This is in the context of language implementation. In other contexts, the term "virtual machine" can mean operating system virtualization.)
  Example: The JVM (Java Virtual Machine) executes Java bytecode.

- Platform-independent low-level code, designed to be executed by a VM, was originally called *p-code* (portable code), but is now usually called *bytecode*.

- An interpreter or VM may use a *JIT* ("Just In Time") compiler to compile all or parts of the program into machine code during execution. In contrast, a traditional compiler compiles to machine code *AOT* ("Ahead Of Time"), i.e., before execution starts.

Some historical roots

- The first compiler was developed by Grace Hopper in 1952.

- John McCarthy used JIT compilation in his LISP interpreter in 1960. This was called "Compile and Go". The term JIT came later, and was popularized with Java.

- The Pascal-P system, developed by Niklaus Wirth's group at ETH in 1972, used portable code called "p-code". A successor, UCSD-Pascal, used a variant of p-code that was byte-oriented. The UCSD interpreter was easy to port to different machines and the language spread quickly, and became a popular language taught at many universities. Java, introduced in 1994, used easily portable bytecode, and spread quickly for the same reasons.

- Smalltalk-80 used bytecode in the 1980s, and pioneered several runtime compilation and optimization techniques for object-oriented languages.

- The research language Self refined Smalltalk's dynamic optimization techniques during the early 1990s. This work laid the foundation for the dynamically optimizing VMs we use today, like the Java Hotspot VM, the Javascript V8 VM, and many others.

Compiler phases and program representations:

source code (text)

Lexical analysis
(scanning)

tokens

Syntactic analysis
(parsing)

AST (Abstract syntax tree)

Semantic analysis

Attributed AST

Intermediate
code generation

intermediate code

Optimization

intermediate code

Target code
generation

target code

Compiler phases and program representations:

```
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -   source code (text)
        │
        ▼
┌─────────────────────┐
│   Lexical analysis  │
│      (scanning)     │
└─────────────────────┘
        │
        ▼
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -   tokens
┌─────────────────────┐
│  Syntactic analysis │
│      (parsing)      │
└─────────────────────┘
        │
        ▼
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -   AST (Abstract syntax tree)
┌─────────────────────┐
│  Semantic analysis  │
└─────────────────────┘
        │
        ▼
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -   Attributed AST
┌─────────────────────┐
│    Intermediate     │
│   code generation   │
└─────────────────────┘
        │
        ▼
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -   intermediate code
┌─────────────────────┐
│     Optimization    │
└─────────────────────┘
        │
        ▼
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -   intermediate code
┌─────────────────────┐
│     Target code     │
│      generation     │
└─────────────────────┘
        │
        ▼
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -   target code
```

43

Lexical analysis (scanning)

Source text:

```
while (k<=n) {
   sum=sum+k;
   k=k+1;
}
```

Lexical analysis (scanning)

Source text:

```
while (k<=n) {
   sum=sum+k;
   k=k+1;
}
```

What the file looks like:

```
while (k<=n) {\n   sum=sum+k;\n   k=k+1;\n}
```

Lexical analysis (scanning)

Source text:

```
while (k<=n) {
    sum=sum+k;
    k=k+1;
}
```

What the file looks like:

```
while (k<=n) {\n   sum=sum+k;\n   k=k+1;\n}
```

Tokens the scanner produces:

```
WHILE LPAR ID("k") LEQ ID("n") RPAR LBRA ID("sum") EQ ...
```

A *token* is a symbolic name, sometimes with an attribute.
A *lexeme* is a string corresponding to a token.
Whitespace (blanks, newlines, etc., are skipped)

Compiler phases and program representations:

```
                                    - - - - - - - - - -   source code (text)
                           ┌─────────────────────┐
                           │   Lexical analysis  │
                           │     (scanning)      │
                           └─────────────────────┘
                                    - - - - - - - - - -   tokens
                           ┌─────────────────────┐
          →                │  Syntactic analysis │
                           │      (parsing)      │
                           └─────────────────────┘
                                    - - - - - - - - - -   AST (Abstract syntax tree)
                           ┌─────────────────────┐
                           │  Semantic analysis  │
                           └─────────────────────┘
                                    - - - - - - - - - -   Attributed AST
                           ┌─────────────────────┐
                           │    Intermediate     │
                           │  code generation    │
                           └─────────────────────┘
                                    - - - - - - - - - -   intermediate code
                           ┌─────────────────────┐
                           │    Optimization     │
                           └─────────────────────┘
                                    - - - - - - - - - -   intermediate code
                           ┌─────────────────────┐
                           │    Target code      │
                           │     generation      │
                           └─────────────────────┘
                                    - - - - - - - - - -   target code
```

47

Syntactic analysis (parsing)

`WhileStmt`

parse tree – spans all tokens

```
WHILE LPAR ID LEQ ID RPAR LBRA ID EQ ID PLUS ID SEMI ID EQ ID PLUS INT SEMI RBRA
while ( k <= n ) { sum = sum + k ; k = k + 1 ; }
```

Syntactic analysis (parsing)

parse tree – spans all tokens

```
while ( k <= n ) { sum = sum + k ; k = k + 1 ; }
```

Abstract syntax tree (AST)

AST – a tree with only the essential structure and tokens


```
WhileStmt
    Block
        AssignStmt        AssignStmt
LessEqual        Add              Add

WHILE LPAR ID LEQ ID RPAR LBRA ID EQ ID PLUS ID SEMI ID EQ ID PLUS INT SEMI RBRA

while ( k <= n ) { sum = sum + k ; k = k + 1 ; }
```

Abstract syntax trees

- Used inside the compiler for representing the program
- Very similar to the parse tree, but
  - contains only essential tokens
  - has a simpler more natural structure
- Often represented by a typed object-oriented model
  - abstract classes (Stmt, Expr, Decl, …)
  - concrete classes (WhileStmt, IfStmt, Add, Sub, …)

  (or corresponding algebraic datatypes with constructors)

Designing an AST model

What abstract constructs are there in the language	What concrete constructs are there?	What parts do the concrete constructs have?
• OOP: Make them abstract classes • FP: Make them algebraic data types	• OOP: Make them subclasses of the abstract classes • FP: Make them constructors of the algebraic data types	• OOP: Add getters for them, to access parts • FP: Use pattern matching to access parts

Example AST class hierarchy

Example AST class hierarchy

Compiler phases and program representations:

source code (text)

Lexical analysis (scanning)

tokens

Syntactic analysis (parsing)

AST (Abstract syntax tree)

Semantic analysis

Attributed AST

Intermediate code generation

intermediate code

Optimization

intermediate code

Target code generation

target code

Semantic analysis

Analyze the AST, for example,

- Which declaration corresponds to a variable?

- What is the type of an expression?

- Are there compile time errors in the program?

Analysis aided by adding *attributes* to the AST (properties of AST nodes)

Example attributes

Example attributes

Each Expr has a type() attribute, indicating if the expression is integer, boolean, etc.
Each Id has a decl() attribute, referring to the appropriate declaration node.

Compiler phases and program representations:

source code (text)

```
Lexical analysis
(scanning)
```

tokens

```
Syntactic analysis
(parsing)
```

AST (Abstract syntax tree)

```
Semantic analysis
```

Attributed AST

```
Intermediate
code generation
```

intermediate code

```
Optimization
```

intermediate code

```
Target code
generation
```

target code

59

Intermediate code generation

Intermediate code:

- also known as *intermediate representation* (IR)
- independent of source language
- independent of target machine
- usually assembly-like
  - but simpler, without many instruction variants
  - and with an unlimited number of registers
    (or uses a stack instead of registers)

Compiler phases and program representations:

source code (text)

Lexical analysis (scanning)

tokens

Syntactic analysis (parsing)

AST (Abstract syntax tree)

Semantic analysis

Attributed AST

Intermediate code generation

intermediate code

Optimization

intermediate code

See the course Optimizing Compilers, EDAN75

Target code generation

target code

61

Generating the compiler:

62

Generating the compiler:

Regular expressions	→ Scanner generator →	Lexical analyzer (scanner)
Context-free grammar	→ Parser generator →	Syntactic analyzer (parser)
Attribute grammar	→ Attribute evaluator generator →	Semantic analyzer
		Intermediate code generator
Reusable algoritms, see EDAN75	→	Optimizer
		Target code generator

63

Program errors

EDAN65, Lecture 01

64

Program errors

Lexical analysis (scanning) → *lexical errors*
text that cannot be interpreted as a token

Syntactic analysis (parsing) → *syntactic errors*
tokens in the wrong order

Semantic analysis → *static-semantic errors*
wrong use of names, types, ...

} ***compile-time errors***

Code generation → interpreter/ machine → ***runtime errors***
null pointer exception,
division by zero,
stack overflow, ...

logic errors
Compute the wrong result.
Not caught by the compiler or the machine.
Normally try to catch using test cases.
Assertions and program verification can also help.

What kind is each error? Lexical, Syntactic, Static-semantic, Run-time, Logic?

Example errors

1.
```
int # square(int x) {
    return x * x;
}
```

2.
```
int double square(int x) {
    return x * x;
}
```

3.
```
boolean square(int x) {
    return x * x;
}
```

4.
```
int p(int x) {
    return x / 0;
}
```

5.
```
int square(int x) {
    return 2 * x;
}
```

Example errors

Lexical error:

1.
```
int # square(int x) {
    return x * x;
}
```

Syntactic error:

2.
```
int double square(int x) {
    return x * x;
}
```

Static-semantic error:

3.
```
boolean square(int x) {
    return x * x;
}
```

Runtime error:

4.
```
int p(int x) {
    return x / 0;
}
```

Logic error:

5.
```
int square(int x) {
    return 2 * x;
}
```

Safe versus unsafe languages

- **Safe language**

  All runtime errors are caught by the generated code and/or runtime system, and are reported in terms of the language.

  Examples: Java, C#, Smalltalk, Python, …

- **Unsafe language**

  Runtime errors in the generated code can lead to undefined behavior, for example an out of bounds array access. In the best case, this gives a hardware exception soon after the real error, stopping the program ("segmentation fault"). In the worst case, the execution continues, computing the wrong result or giving a segmentation fault much later, leading to bugs that can be extremely hard to find.

  Examples: C, Assembly

Course overview

runtime system

source code (text)

| Regular expressions | **A1** → | Lexical analyzer (scanner) |

activation records — stack

tokens

| Context-free grammar | **A1, A2** → | Syntactic analyzer (parser) |

AST (Abstract syntax tree)

| Attribute grammar | **A3, A4** → | Semantic analyzer |

objects — garbage collection — heap

Attributed AST

| Intermediate code generator | - - - **A5** - - - | Interpreter |

intermediate code

| Optimizer |

Virtual machine — code and data

intermediate code

| Target code generator | - - - **A6** - - - | machine |

target code

After this course...

- You will have built a complete compiler

- You will have seen new declarative ways of programming

- You will have learnt some fundamental computer science theory

- You will have experience from using several practical tools

Some related courses

- EDAN70, **Project in Computer Science**, lp2
  - Build a small tool, evaluate it, write a short paper
- EDAP15, **Program Analysis**, lp3
  - Deeper program analysis for e.g., security, quality, program understanding, software improvement, …
- EDAN75, **Optimizing compilers**, lp3
  - (Given every other year, 2024/2025 next time)

- **Master's thesis project** in compilers (related to research or industry)

Applications of compiler construction

- Traditional compilers from source to assembly

- Source-to-source translators, transpilers, preprocessors

- Interpreters and virtual machines

- Integrated programming environments

- Program analysis tools

- Refactoring and other program transformation tools

- Domain-specific languages

Examples of
Domain-Specific Languages

HTML

```
...
<h3>Lecture 1: Introduction. Mon 13-15. <a
href="http://fileadmin.cs.lth.se/cs/Education/EDAN65/2016/document
s/EDAN65-map.pdf">M:A</a></h3>
  <ul>
    <li><a
href="http://fileadmin.cs.lth.se/cs/Education/EDAN65/2016/lectures
/L01.pdf">Slides</a>
    <li>Appel Book: Ch 1-1.2
    <li><a href
="https://moodle2.cs.lth.se/moodle/mod/quiz/view.php?id=43">Moodle
Quiz</a>
  </ul>
...
```

.gitconfig

```
[user]
    name = Görel Hedin
    email = gorel.hedin@cs.lth.se
[push]
    default = simple
```

Modelica

https://www.modelica.org/


```
model Capacitor "Ideal linear electrical capacitor"
    parameter SI.Capacitance C "Capacitance";
    Interfaces.PositivePin  p;
    Interfaces.NegativePin n;
    SI.Voltage v "Voltage drop between pins";
equation
    0 = p.i + n.i;
    v = p.v - n.v;
    C*der(v) = p.i;
end Capacitor;
```

Grafchart

Automation Builder

https://abb.com/automationbuilder

Some related research at LTH

Language tools
- Extensible compiler tools (Görel Hedin)

- Program analysis, software tools (Christoph Reichenbach)

- Adaptive developer tools (Emma Söderberg)

Backend
- Real-time garbage collection (Roger Henriksson)

- Code optimization for multiprocessors (Jonas Skeppstedt)

NLP
- Natural language processing (Pierre Nugues)

Domain-specific languages
- Languages for stream computing (Jörn Janneck)

- Languages for robotics (Volker Krüger, Christoph Reichenbach)

- Languages for pervasive systems (Boris Magnusson, Görel Hedin)

- Languages for requirements modeling (Björn Regnell)

- Languages for simulation and control (The control department)

Summary questions

- What are the major compiler phases?
- What is the difference between the analysis and synthesis phases?
- Why do we use intermediate code?
- What is the advantage of separating the front and back ends?
- What is
  - a lexeme?
  - a token?
  - a parse tree?
  - an abstract syntax tree?
  - intermediate code?
- What is the difference between assembly code, object code, and executable code?
- What is bytecode, an interpreter, a virtual machine?
- What is a JIT compiler?
- What kind of errors can be caught by a compiler? A runtime system?

See course website https://cs.lth.se/edan65 for what to do this week.