

Juliet & Romeo: Next-gen Robot Programming

Jesper Öqvist cognibotics.com

















Julia

Dynamic language for scientific computing:

- ★ Managed memory (GC)
- ★ Minimal syntax
- ★ Powerful libraries
- ★ JIT-compiled (LLVM)
- \star Optional type declarations
- \star First-class functions
- ★ Multimethods





Julia Syntax

f(x) = 2x

```
function mul2(x::Int)::Int
    x + x
end
```

```
for i in [1,2,3]
    pintln(mul2(i))
end
```



Data Types

```
struct Foo{T}
    x::T
    y::String
    z::Vector{T}
end
```

No member functions - instead polymorphic multimethods



Multimethods

can(Fly(), Bird())
can(Bird(), Fly())

Multimethods / Multiple Dispatch - runtime selection of most specific method (on all arguments).



Polymorphism

```
struct Foo{T}
    x::T
end
```

unwrap(foo::: $Foo{T}$) where T = foo.x

setindex!(arr::Array{T,D}, x::T, i::Int) where {T, D} = ...



Juliet = Julia for Robots

Statically typed Julia Compiled to bytecode Custom GC Robot VM (Romeo/RVM) IDE for robot programming





High-Level System View





Robot Considerations

Slow predictable execution > fast unpredictable JIT Static typing > runtime errors Static allocations > dynamic arrays/lists

Robot tasks: Welding Painting Machining Pick/place





Why Juliet for Robots?

- intuitive / minimal syntax
- powerful type system
- robot motions as library code
- functional programming
- formalize backwards-stepping behavior
- access to high-quality libraries (Julia packages)









The Juliet Team

About 15 people contributing to Juliet runtime, compiler, GC, libraries, etc.

2 people working on the compiler: Jesper Öqvist Erik Jansson



Development Process

Incremental development Divide and conquer, don't worry about making it perfect from the start.

Regression test! Each new feature should be tested.

CI/CD Run tests on a server for each commit (GitLab)



Juliet Compiler

- Written in C
- Scanner: Flex-generated
- Parser: Bison-generated (GLR)
- Semantic analysis: visitor-based
- Code generation: bytecode



Juliet Parsing

Julia is not context-free LR(1) because it allows almost any kind of whitespace anywhere

```
if x println(x) end
```

```
if x
    println(x)
end
```



Juliet Parsing

Julia is not context-free LR(1) because it allows almost any kind of whitespace anywhere

if x(y, z)
end
if x
 (y, z)
end
function f(x)
 return x
 + 3
end



Julia Parsing

Julia is not context-free LR(1) because it allows almost any kind of whitespace anywhere

function f(x)
 return x
 + 3
end



Julia is not LR(1)

```
program = es
// Expression list:
es = e | es w e
// Expression:
e = n | n w EQ e
// Name:
n = ID
// Whitespace:
w = WHITESPACE
```



Juliet Modules

```
module Foo
  function foo() end
end
```

Main.Foo.foo()

Name analysis passes:

- 1. Find all modules and structs. Map structs to respective modules.
- 2. Declare all function names (in modules), lookup parameter types.
- 3. Do local name lookup and call resolution.
- 4. Do a type check pass.



Juliet Type Analysis

The subtype algorithm is described in Jeff Bezanson's Thesis.

Just implement that ->

$\frac{{}^B_A X^L, \Gamma \vdash T \le S}{\Gamma \vdash \exists {}^B_A X T \le S} \qquad \frac{{}^B_A X^R, \Gamma \vdash}{\Gamma \vdash T \le}$	$\frac{T \le S}{\exists A X S} \qquad \overline{\Gamma \vdash X \le X}$
$\frac{{}^BX^L,{}_AY^L,\Gamma \ \vdash \ B \leq Y \ \lor \ X \leq A}{{}^BX^L,{}_AY^L,\Gamma \ \vdash \ X \leq Y}$	$\frac{{}^B_A X^R, Y^R, \Gamma \ \vdash \ B \leq A}{{}^B_A X^R, Y^R, \Gamma \ \vdash \ X \leq Y}$
$\frac{{}^B_A X^R, \Gamma \vdash T \le B}{{}^B_{A \cup T} X^R, \Gamma \vdash T \le X}$	$\frac{{}^B_A X^R, \Gamma \ \vdash \ A \leq T}{{}^T_A X^R, \Gamma \ \vdash \ X \leq T}$
$\frac{_{A}X^{L}, \Gamma \ \vdash \ T \leq A}{_{A}X^{L}, \Gamma \ \vdash \ T \leq X}$	$\frac{{}^{B}X^{L}, \Gamma \vdash B \leq T}{{}^{B}X^{L}, \Gamma \vdash X \leq T}$

Bezanson, J. W. *Abstraction in Technical Computing*. PhD thesis, Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. June, 2015.



Juliet Type Analysis

The subtype algorithm is described in Jeff Bezanson's Thesis.

Just implement that ->

Actually it's not that simple. Must avoid infinite expanding types. Must be precise with keeping track of separate instances of typenames.

struct Onion{A, B}
 onion::Onion{B, A}
end

$\frac{{}^B_A X^L, \Gamma \vdash T \le S}{\Gamma \vdash \exists {}^B_A X T \le S} \qquad \frac{{}^B_A X^R, \Gamma \vdash}{\Gamma \vdash T \le}$	$\frac{T \le S}{\exists \ _{A}^{B}X \ S} \qquad \overline{\Gamma \ \vdash \ X \le X}$
$\frac{{}^BX^L,{}_AY^L,\Gamma \ \vdash \ B \leq Y \ \lor \ X \leq A}{{}^BX^L,{}_AY^L,\Gamma \ \vdash \ X \leq Y}$	$\frac{{}^B_A X^R, Y^R, \Gamma \ \vdash \ B \leq A}{{}^B_A X^R, Y^R, \Gamma \ \vdash \ X \leq Y}$
$\frac{{}^B_A X^R, \Gamma \vdash T \le B}{{}^B_{A \cup T} X^R, \Gamma \vdash T \le X}$	$\frac{{}^B_A X^R, \Gamma \ \vdash \ A \leq T}{{}^T_A X^R, \Gamma \ \vdash \ X \leq T}$
$\frac{_{A}X^{L}, \Gamma \ \vdash \ T \leq A}{_{A}X^{L}, \Gamma \ \vdash \ T \leq X}$	$\frac{{}^{B}X^{L}, \Gamma \ \vdash \ B \leq T}{{}^{B}X^{L}, \Gamma \ \vdash \ X \leq T}$

Bezanson, J. W. *Abstraction in Technical Computing*. PhD thesis, Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. June, 2015.



Arrays

Multimethods make it possible to overload the indexing operator for custom types!



Exceptions

```
try
   error("oopsie")
catch e
   println("failed")
finally
   return 0
end
```



Union Dispatch

```
Formal parameters can have union type:
```

```
function foo(x :: Union{Bool, String}) end
foo(true)
foo("x")
```

Conversely, multiple functions can be dispatched with a union typed argument.



Declaration Chains

The typical design for name analysis with visitors uses name tables at each scope (function, block, etc).





Declaration Chains

Idea: instead store chain of previous declarations from each name use, mapping out the reverse pre-order of matching name declarations.

