

EDAN70: Project in Computer Science

Fall 2025, Lund University

Introduction lecture

Coordinators and supervisors

Compiler projects: Görel Hedin, E:2111a, gorel.hedin@cs.lth.se

Additional supervisors:

- Anton Risberg Alakula, E:2163, anton.risberg_alakula@cs.lth.se
- Christoph Reichenbach, E:2112b, christoph.reichenbach@cs.lth.se
- Erik Prantare, E:2111c, erik.prantare@cs.lth.se

Language Technology projects: Pierre Nugues, E:4134a, pierre.nugues@cs.lth.se

Multicore projects: Jonas Skeppstedt, E:2190, jonas.skeppstedt@cs.lth.se

Autonomous systems projects: Volker Krueger, E:4133a, volker.krueger@cs.lth.se

Course structure

Select project

Preferably today. Otherwise, email your coordinator (For compilers: Görel Hedin) by Wednesday Nov 5 (gorel.hedin@cs.lth.se).

2 students in each group. Preferably all groups do different projects.

Project work

- Weekly meetings with supervisor.

- Decide concrete actions each week.

- Work incrementally, both for tool and report.

- Implement tool

 - Use git repository (your supervisor might give you a repo).

 - Demo/release to supervisor each week.

 - Open-source using BSD modified license.

- Evaluate tool: performance, code size, working examples, test cases, user study, ...

- Read and review: Related research papers.

- Write research-oriented report.

- Present your work at seminar.

Overall workplan and deadlines

Week	What	Submit by deadline (email supervisor)
1	Discuss project with supervisor. Decide on first overall plan. Start writing on intro and outline of report. Start implementation.	
2	Discuss first version of report with supervisor. (Flesh out introduction with problem description, include outline of rest of report.)	Fri Nov 14: First version of report
3	Demo first version of tool to supervisor.	Fri Nov 21: Updated report
4	Do first release of tool. Discuss evaluation with supervisor.	Fri Nov 28: Info about first release
5	Write about solution in report.	Fri Dec 5: Updated report
6	Discuss presentation slides with supervisor.	Fri Dec 12: Draft of presentation slides
7	Present your work at one of the seminar sessions (Dec 17 or 18). Listen to other presentations.	Fri Dec 19: Final slides
January	Finalize report and release.	Wed Jan 14, 2026: Final report and info about final release.

Evaluation possibilities

(discuss with your supervisor what is relevant for your project)

Proof of concept examples

Show that the tool works on interesting examples.

Show that the tool works on examples you did not construct yourself.

“Eat your own dogfood”: Use the tool on the tool itself. Not always possible, but nice if you can!

Performance

JVM startup performance: measure from command line.

JVM Ahead-of-time-compilation performance: measure from command line.

JVM steady state, after JVM warm up: measure from inside program.

Measure several times. Compute confidence intervals.

Scalability? How does the tool scale with larger input?

Code size

SLOC: Source Lines of Code.

Quality

Systematically constructed regression test suite.

Usability

Can users use your tool? “Think aloud” for improving usability.

Comparisons

Can you compare your tool to existing tools? On examples, performance, ...

Example resources for evaluation

(discuss with your supervisor what is relevant for your project)

Performance on the JVM

Andy Georges Dries Buytaert Lieven Eeckhout: Statistically Rigorous Java Performance Evaluation. OOPSLA '07. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1297105.1297033>

S. M. Blackburn et al. Wake up and smell the coffee: evaluation methodology for the 21st century. Communications of the ACM, 51(8):83–89, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1378704.1378723>

Tool for SLOC code size (handles several languages)

cloc <https://github.com/AIDanial/cloc>

Usability

Xavier Ferré, Natalia Juristo, Helmut Windl, Larry Constantine: Usability Basics for Software Developers. IEEE SOFTWARE January/February 2001. <https://doi.org/10.1109/52.903160>

Jakob Nielsen: 10 Usability Heuristics for User Interface Design.
<https://www.nngroup.com/articles/ten-usability-heuristics/>

Doing a release

- Should be **tagged** in the repository.
- Should contain a **README** file explaining how to build, test, and, run.
- There should be a **build script** for building and testing, e.g., in gradle. All dependent libraries that are not part of the repository should be automatically installed by the build script.
- There should be **automated tests**, if relevant.
- There should be **simple examples**, showing use of the software. It should be explained in the README how to run these.
- Any **tools needed** for running the software should be documented in the README. Document in the README which versions of these tools you have used successfully.
- There should be a **LICENSE** text file, declaring the software license used (modified BSD).
- The code should be **platform independent**, if possible. If this is not possible, the requirements should be stated in the README.

Before mailing your supervisor/uploading info about the release:

- Do a **clean checkout** of your release, verify that your tool can be installed and built according to the instructions in your README.

Giving the seminar

Form:

- 15 minutes talk, followed by 5 minutes questions.
- Around 10 slides.

Advice on the presentation:

- Simon Peyton Jones: [How to give a great research talk](#).

Mandatory participation

- Give your own talk
- Attend at least your own session (2 hours), and pose questions

Writing the report

Form:

- Double column SIGPLAN format. LaTeX/BibTeX is recommended. Alternatively, use Word/OpenOffice/Pages.
- 3-6 pages for single author. 4-8 pages for two authors.
- The report must not be longer or shorter than these limits.

Advice on structuring the contents:

- Look at the research papers you read as part of the course. Your report should be written in a similar style, with abstract, introduction with motivation and problem description, sections about your work, evaluation, related work, conclusion, and references. (IPSERC rather than IMRaD)
- Discuss the structure with your supervisor. Different research areas use different structures.
- Simon Peyton Jones: [How to write a great research paper.](#)
- Generative AI tools not allowed for producing report text. (But please do use spell-checkers and grammar-checkers.)

Structure of research papers. IPSERC vs IMRaD

IPSERC (for new ideas/methods/solutions)

I - Introduction. Introduces a **Problem** and why it is important to solve. Lists contributions.

S - Solution. Explains the new solution.

E - Evaluation. Evaluates the new solution.

R - Related work. Compares the new solution to previous work.

C - Conclusion and future work

IMRaD (for new knowledge about existing things)

I - Introduction. Why the new knowledge is important to know. Formulates research questions and hypotheses.

M - Method. Explains what research methods are used to prove/disprove hypotheses, or answering research questions.

R - Results. What answers were found.

a - and

D - Discussion. What are the implications of the answers.

IMRaD can be used to structure the evaluation section in an IPSERC paper

IPSERC (for new ideas/methods/solutions)

I - Introduction. Introduces a **Problem** and why it is important to solve. Lists contributions.

S - Solution. Explains the new solution.

E - Evaluation. Evaluates the new solution.

R - Related work. Compares the new solution to previous work.

C - Conclusion and future work

IMRaD (for new knowledge about existing things)

I - Introduction. Why the new knowledge is important to know. Formulates research questions and hypotheses.

M - Method. Explains what research methods are used to prove/disprove hypotheses, or answering research questions.

R - Results. What answers were found.

a - and

D - Discussion. What are the implications of the answers.

More detailed advice

Detailed week-by-week advice, [see course web for compiler projects](#)

(Check with your coordinator/supervisor if you are doing a project in another area than compilers).