ARTEFACT SURVEY

(Q1 & Q2) Requirements analysis

- i) surplus info from my perspective (e.g. tester, product owner)
- ii) incorrect information as I perceive it
- iii) marker/pointer to tacit information, e.g. contextual info, details or domain knowledge

(Q3) Test case analysis

i) connect the steps / part of step to requirements info

ii) info in test cases not found in requirements: refinement or additional info (source?)

Q1. For the documented reqs (US nr x & y) relative the agreed scope and requirements		
	The doc reqs express meaning as the agreed requirements.	Exactly the same Almost the same Roughly the same Somewhat similar Very different
	The doc reqs specify level of details than the agreed requirements	times more / less or Much more Somewhat more The same Somewhat less Much less
	The doc reqs cover content than the agreed requirements.	times more / less or Much more Somewhat more The same Somewhat less Much less
Q2. For User Stories x & y, the relationship between the implemented behaviour and the agreed requirements.		
	The implemented behaviour is (similar in meaning) to the agreed requirements.	Exactly the same Almost the same Roughly the same Somewhat similar Very different
	The implemented behaviour covers content than the agreed requirements.	times more / less or Much more Somewhat more The same Somewhat less Much less
Q3. For the documented reqs (US nr x & y) and connected test cases (TCs)		
3a	The TCs express meaning as the doc reqs.	Exactly the same Almost the same Roughly the same Somewhat similar Very different
3b	The TCs contain level of detail than the doc reqs.	times more / less or Much more Somewhat more The same Somewhat less Much less
3c	The TCs cover content than the doc reqs.	times more / less or Much more Somewhat more The same Somewhat less Much less