

Language Processing with Perl and Prolog

Chapter 9: Phrase-Structure Grammars in Prolog

Pierre Nugues

Lund University

Pierre.Nugues@cs.lth.se

http://cs.lth.se/pierre_nugues/



Constituents


The waiter brought the meal
The waiter brought the meal to the table
The waiter brought the meal of the day

Le serveur a apporté le plat
Le serveur a apporté le plat sur la table
Le serveur a apporté le plat du jour


Der Ober hat die Speise gebracht
Der Ober hat die Speise zum Tisch gebracht
Der Ober hat die Speise des Tages gebracht




Representing Constituents



Syntactic Trees



Syntactic Trees



DCG Rules

Nonterminal symbols

`s --> np, vp, {possible_prolog_preds}.`

`np --> det, noun.`

`np --> np, pp.`

`vp --> verb, np.`

`vp --> verb, np, pp.`

`pp --> prep, np.`



DCG Rules

Terminal symbols

det --> [the].

det --> [a].

noun --> [waiter].

noun --> [meal].

noun --> [table].

noun --> [day].

verb --> [brought].

prep --> [to]. % or prep --> [to] ; [of].

prep --> [of].



Prolog Search Mechanism

Proves that a sentence is correct

```
?-s([the, waiter, brought, the, meal, to, the, table], []).  
yes.  
?- s([the, waiter, brought, the, meal, of, the, day], []).  
yes.
```

Generates all the solutions

```
?-s(L, []).  
L=[the, waiter, brought, the, waiter];  
L=[the, waiter, brought, the, meal], etc.
```



Conversion in Prolog

`s --> np, vp.`

is translated into

`s(L1, L) :- np(L1, L2), vp(L2, L).`

Alternative translation:

`s(L) :- np(L1), vp(L2), append(L1, L2, L).`

`% not used`


Terminal vocabulary:

`det --> [the]`


is translated into

`det(L1, L) :- c(L1, the, L).`

The Prolog Search



Ambiguity



Left-Recursive Rules

`np --> np, pp.`

The sentence:

** The brings the meal to the table*

traps the parser in an infinite recursion.

`npx --> det, noun.`

`np --> npx.`

`np --> npx, pp.`



Variables

```
np --> det, noun.  
det --> [le] ; [la].  
noun --> [garçon] ; [fille].
```

With variables:

```
np(Gender) --> det(Gender), noun(Gender).  
det(m) --> [le]. det(f) --> [la].  
noun(m) --> [garçon]. noun(f) --> [fille].
```



Getting the Syntactic Structure

```
s(s(NP, VP)) --> np(NP), vp(VP).  
np(np(D, N)) --> det(D), noun(N).  
vp(vp(V, NP)) --> verb(V), np(NP).
```

```
det(det(the)) --> [the].  
det(det(a)) --> [a].  
noun(noun(waiter)) --> [waiter].  
noun(noun(meal)) --> [meal].  
noun(noun(table)) --> [table].  
noun(noun(tray)) --> [tray].  
verb(verb(bring)) --> [brought].
```



Getting the Syntactic Structure

```
?-s(S, L, []).
```

Yields:

```
S = s(np(det(the), noun(waiter)),  
      vp(verb(bring), np(det(the), noun(waiter)))),  
L = [the, waiter, brought, the, waiter] ;
```



Semantic Parsing

Converts sentences to first-order logic or predicate-argument structures

Example:

Mr. Schmidt called Bill

to

`called('Mr. Schmidt', 'Bill').`


Assumption: We can compose sentence fragments (phrases) into logical forms while parsing

This corresponds to the compositionality principle



Semantic Composition

Semantic composition can be viewed as a parse tree annotation



Getting the Semantic Structure

Bill rushed `rushed('Bill').`

The verb *rushed* is represented as a lambda expression: $\lambda x.rushed(x)$

Beta reduction: $\lambda x.rushed(x)(Bill) = rushed(Bill)$

Lambda expressions are represented in Prolog as $X^{\wedge}rushed(X)$.

<i>The patron ordered a meal</i>	<code>ordered(patron, meal)</code>
<i>ordered a meal</i>	<code>X[^]ordered(X, meal)</code>
<i>ordered</i>	<code>Y[^]X[^]ordered(X, Y)</code>



Getting the Semantic Structure

```
s(Semantics) --> np(Subject), vp(Subject^Semantics).  
np(X) --> det, noun(X).  
vp(Subject^Predicate) --> verb(Subject^Predicate).  
vp(Subject^Predicate) -->  
verb(Object^Subject^Predicate), np(Object).  
noun(waiter) --> [waiter].  
noun(patron) --> [patron].  
noun(meal) --> [meal]. det --> [a].  
det --> [the].
```

```
verb(X^rushed(X)) --> [rushed].  
verb(Y^X^ordered(X, Y)) --> [ordered].  
verb(Y^X^brought(X, Y)) --> [brought].
```

```
?- s(Semantics, [the, patron, ordered, a, meal], []).  
Semantics = ordered(patron, meal)
```



An Example from Persona

I'd like to hear something composed by Mozart.

```
like1 (+Modal +Past +Futr)
  Dsub: i1 (+Pers1 +Sing)
  Dobj: hear1
    Dsub: i1
      Dobj: something1 (+Indef +Exis +Pers3 +Sing)
      Prop: compose1
        Dsub: mozart1 (+Sing)
        Dobj: something1
```



Simpler Sentences

I would like something

I would like some Mozart

```
s(Sem) --> np(Sub), vp(Sub^Sem).
```

```
npx(SemNP) --> pro(SemNP).
```

```
npx(SemNP) --> noun(SemNP).
```

```
npx(SemNP) --> det, noun(SemNP).
```

```
np(SemNP) --> npx(SemNP).
```

```
noun(SemNP) --> proper_noun(SemNP).
```



The Verb Phrase

```
verb_group(SemVG) --> verb(SemVG).  
verb_group(SemVG) --> aux(SemAux), verb(SemVG).  
  
vp(SemVP) --> verb_group(SemVP).  
vp(SemVP) --> verb_group(Obj^SemVP), np(Obj).  
  
verb(Obj^Sub^like(Sub, Obj)) --> [like].  
verb(Obj^Sub^hear(Sub, Obj)) --> [hear].
```



The Vocabulary

```
aux(would) --> [would].  
pro('I') --> ['I'].  
pro(something) --> [something].  
proper_noun('Mozart') --> ['Mozart'].  
det --> [some].  
  
?- s(Sem, ['I', would, like, some, 'Mozart'], []).  
Sem = like('I', 'Mozart')
```



More Complex Sentences

I would like to hear something

I would like to hear some Mozart

```
vp_inf(SemVP) --> [to], vp(SemVP).
```

```
vp(SemVP) --> verb_group(Obj^SemVP), vp_inf(Obj).
```

```
?- s(Sem, ['I', would, like, to, hear, some, 'Mozart'], []).  
Sem = like('I', X^hear(X, 'Mozart'))
```



And Finally

```
np(SemNP) --> npx(SemVP^SemNP), vp_passive(SemVP).
```

```
vp_passive(SemVP) --> verb(Sub^SemVP) , [by], np(Sub).
```

```
verb(Sub^Obj^compose(Sub, Obj)) --> [composed].
```

```
pro(Modifier^something(Modifier)) --> [something].
```

```
?- s(Sem, ['I', would, like, to, hear, something,  
    composed, by, 'Mozart'], []).
```

```
Sem = like('I', X^hear(X, Y^something(compose('Mozart', Y))))
```

