

# Properties of $\lambda_{\rightarrow}$

## Seminar 4

Niklas Fors, Gustav Cedersjö  
Most slides “borrowed” from Martin Odersky

March 14, 2012

Repetition

# Untyped lambda-calculus with booleans

$t ::=$

$x$

$\lambda x. t$

$t \ t$

$\text{true}$

$\text{false}$

$\text{if } t \text{ then } t \text{ else } t$

*terms*

*variable*

*abstraction*

*application*

*constant true*

*constant false*

*conditional*

$v ::=$

$\lambda x. t$

$\text{true}$

$\text{false}$

*values*

*abstraction value*

*true value*

*false value*

# Evaluation Rules

$$\text{if true then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \longrightarrow t_2 \quad (\text{E-IFTRUE})$$

$$\text{if false then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \longrightarrow t_3 \quad (\text{E-IFFALSE})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \longrightarrow \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3} \quad (\text{E-IF})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{t_1 \ t_2 \longrightarrow t'_1 \ t_2} \quad (\text{E-APP1})$$

$$\frac{t_2 \longrightarrow t'_2}{v_1 \ t_2 \longrightarrow v_1 \ t'_2} \quad (\text{E-APP2})$$

$$(\lambda x:T_{11}.t_{12}) \ v_2 \longrightarrow [x \mapsto v_2]t_{12} \quad (\text{E-APPABS})$$

# “Simple Types”

$T ::=$

$\text{Bool}$

$T \rightarrow T$

*types*

*type of booleans*

*types of functions*

What are some examples?

## Typing rules

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool} \quad (\text{T-TRUE})$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool} \quad (\text{T-FALSE})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T \quad \Gamma \vdash t_3 : T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T} \quad (\text{T-IF})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:T_1 \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x:T_1. t_2 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2} \quad (\text{T-ABS})$$

$$\frac{x:T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : T} \quad (\text{T-VAR})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 \ t_2 : T_{12}} \quad (\text{T-APP})$$

## Properties of $\lambda_{\rightarrow}$

The fundamental property of the type system we have just defined is *soundness* with respect to the operational semantics.

1. *Progress*: A closed, well-typed term is not stuck

*If  $\vdash t : T$ , then either  $t$  is a value or else  $t \longrightarrow t'$  for some  $t'$ .*

2. *Preservation*: Types are preserved by one-step evaluation

*If  $\Gamma \vdash t : T$  and  $t \longrightarrow t'$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$ .*

## Proving progress

Same steps as before...



# Proving progress

Same steps as before...

- ▶ inversion lemma for typing relation
- ▶ canonical forms lemma
- ▶ progress theorem

# Inversion

*Lemma:*

1. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
2. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
3. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : R$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$  and  $\Gamma \vdash t_2, t_3 : R$ .

# Inversion

*Lemma:*

1. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
2. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
3. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : R$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$  and  $\Gamma \vdash t_2, t_3 : R$ .
4. If  $\Gamma \vdash x : R$ , then

# Inversion

*Lemma:*

1. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
2. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
3. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : R$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$  and  $\Gamma \vdash t_2, t_3 : R$ .
4. If  $\Gamma \vdash x : R$ , then  $x : R \in \Gamma$ .

# Inversion

*Lemma:*

1. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
2. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
3. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : R$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$  and  $\Gamma \vdash t_2, t_3 : R$ .
4. If  $\Gamma \vdash x : R$ , then  $x : R \in \Gamma$ .
5. If  $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : T_1. t_2 : R$ , then

# Inversion

*Lemma:*

1. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
2. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
3. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : R$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$  and  $\Gamma \vdash t_2, t_3 : R$ .
4. If  $\Gamma \vdash x : R$ , then  $x : R \in \Gamma$ .
5. If  $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : T_1. t_2 : R$ , then  $R = T_1 \rightarrow R_2$  for some  $R_2$  with  $\Gamma, x : T_1 \vdash t_2 : R_2$ .

# Inversion

*Lemma:*

1. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
2. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
3. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : R$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$  and  $\Gamma \vdash t_2, t_3 : R$ .
4. If  $\Gamma \vdash x : R$ , then  $x : R \in \Gamma$ .
5. If  $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : T_1. t_2 : R$ , then  $R = T_1 \rightarrow R_2$  for some  $R_2$  with  $\Gamma, x : T_1 \vdash t_2 : R_2$ .
6. If  $\Gamma \vdash t_1 \ t_2 : R$ , then

# Inversion

*Lemma:*

1. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
2. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : R$ , then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
3. If  $\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : R$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool}$  and  $\Gamma \vdash t_2, t_3 : R$ .
4. If  $\Gamma \vdash x : R$ , then  $x : R \in \Gamma$ .
5. If  $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : T_1. t_2 : R$ , then  $R = T_1 \rightarrow R_2$  for some  $R_2$  with  $\Gamma, x : T_1 \vdash t_2 : R_2$ .
6. If  $\Gamma \vdash t_1 \ t_2 : R$ , then there is some type  $T_{11}$  such that  $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow R$  and  $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}$ .



# Canonical Forms

*Lemma:*

# Canonical Forms

*Lemma:*

1. If  $v$  is a value of type `Bool`, then

# Canonical Forms

*Lemma:*

1. If  $v$  is a value of type `Bool`, then  $v$  is either `true` or `false`.

# Canonical Forms

*Lemma:*

1. If  $v$  is a value of type  $\text{Bool}$ , then  $v$  is either `true` or `false`.
2. If  $v$  is a value of type  $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ , then

# Canonical Forms

*Lemma:*

1. If  $v$  is a value of type  $\text{Bool}$ , then  $v$  is either  $\text{true}$  or  $\text{false}$ .
2. If  $v$  is a value of type  $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ , then  $v$  has the form  $\lambda x:T_1. t_2$ .

# Progress

*Theorem:* Suppose  $t$  is a closed, well-typed term (that is,  $\vdash t : T$  for some  $T$ ). Then either  $t$  is a value or else there is some  $t'$  with  $t \longrightarrow t'$ .

*Proof:* By induction

# Progress

*Theorem:* Suppose  $t$  is a closed, well-typed term (that is,  $\vdash t : T$  for some  $T$ ). Then either  $t$  is a value or else there is some  $t'$  with  $t \longrightarrow t'$ .

*Proof:* By induction on typing derivations.

# Progress

*Theorem:* Suppose  $t$  is a closed, well-typed term (that is,  $\vdash t : T$  for some  $T$ ). Then either  $t$  is a value or else there is some  $t'$  with  $t \longrightarrow t'$ .

*Proof:* By induction on typing derivations. The cases for boolean constants and conditions are the same as before. The variable case is trivial (because  $t$  is closed). The abstraction case is immediate, since abstractions are values.



# Progress

*Theorem:* Suppose  $t$  is a closed, well-typed term (that is,  $\vdash t : T$  for some  $T$ ). Then either  $t$  is a value or else there is some  $t'$  with  $t \longrightarrow t'$ .

*Proof:* By induction on typing derivations. The cases for boolean constants and conditions are the same as before. The variable case is trivial (because  $t$  is closed). The abstraction case is immediate, since abstractions are values.

Consider the case for application, where  $t = t_1 \ t_2$  with  $\vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12}$  and  $\vdash t_2 : T_{11}$ .

## Progress

*Theorem:* Suppose  $t$  is a closed, well-typed term (that is,  $\vdash t : T$  for some  $T$ ). Then either  $t$  is a value or else there is some  $t'$  with  $t \longrightarrow t'$ .

*Proof:* By induction on typing derivations. The cases for boolean constants and conditions are the same as before. The variable case is trivial (because  $t$  is closed). The abstraction case is immediate, since abstractions are values.

Consider the case for application, where  $t = t_1 \ t_2$  with  $\vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12}$  and  $\vdash t_2 : T_{11}$ . By the induction hypothesis, either  $t_1$  is a value or else it can make a step of evaluation, and likewise  $t_2$ .

# Preservation

*Theorem:* If  $\Gamma \vdash t : T$  and  $t \longrightarrow t'$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$ .

*Proof:* By induction on typing derivations.

# Preservation

*Theorem:* If  $\Gamma \vdash t : T$  and  $t \longrightarrow t'$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$ .

*Proof:* By induction on typing derivations. Cases:

- ▶ T-TRUE:
- ▶ T-FALSE:
- ▶ T-IF:
- ▶ T-VAR:
- ▶ T-ABS:
- ▶ T-APP:

# Preservation

*Theorem:* If  $\Gamma \vdash t : T$  and  $t \longrightarrow t'$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$ .

*Proof:* By induction on typing derivations. Cases:

- ▶ T-TRUE: Same as last seminar.
- ▶ T-FALSE: Same as last seminar.
- ▶ T-IF: Same as last seminar.
- ▶ T-VAR:
- ▶ T-ABS:
- ▶ T-APP:

# Preservation

*Theorem:* If  $\Gamma \vdash t : T$  and  $t \longrightarrow t'$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$ .

*Proof:* By induction on typing derivations. Cases:

- ▶ T-TRUE: Same as last seminar.
- ▶ T-FALSE: Same as last seminar.
- ▶ T-IF: Same as last seminar.
- ▶ T-VAR: There exist no  $t \longrightarrow t'$ .
- ▶ T-ABS:
- ▶ T-APP:

# Preservation

*Theorem:* If  $\Gamma \vdash t : T$  and  $t \longrightarrow t'$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$ .

*Proof:* By induction on typing derivations. Cases:

- ▶ T-TRUE: Same as last seminar.
- ▶ T-FALSE: Same as last seminar.
- ▶ T-IF: Same as last seminar.
- ▶ T-VAR: There exist no  $t \longrightarrow t'$ .
- ▶ T-ABS: There exist no  $t \longrightarrow t'$ .
- ▶ T-APP:

# Preservation

*Theorem:* If  $\Gamma \vdash t : T$  and  $t \longrightarrow t'$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$ .

*Proof:* By induction on typing derivations. Cases:

- ▶ T-TRUE: Same as last seminar.
- ▶ T-FALSE: Same as last seminar.
- ▶ T-IF: Same as last seminar.
- ▶ T-VAR: There exist no  $t \longrightarrow t'$ .
- ▶ T-ABS: There exist no  $t \longrightarrow t'$ .
- ▶ T-APP: WTF!



# Preservation

*Theorem:* If  $\Gamma \vdash t : T$  and  $t \longrightarrow t'$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$ .

*Proof:* By induction on typing derivations. Cases:

- ▶ T-TRUE: Same as last seminar.
- ▶ T-FALSE: Same as last seminar.
- ▶ T-IF: Same as last seminar.
- ▶ T-VAR: There exist no  $t \longrightarrow t'$ .
- ▶ T-ABS: There exist no  $t \longrightarrow t'$ .
- ▶ T-APP: Whiteboard To Fors!

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2 : T_{12}}$$

# Substitution

Definition:

$$[x \mapsto s]x = s$$

$$[x \mapsto s]y = y \quad \text{if } y \neq x$$

$$[x \mapsto s](\lambda y. t_1) = \lambda y. [x \mapsto s]t_1 \quad \text{if } y \neq x$$

and  $y \notin FV(s)$

$$[x \mapsto s](t_1 \ t_2) = ([x \mapsto s]t_1) ([x \mapsto s]t_2)$$

# The “Substitution Lemma”

*Lemma:* Types are preserved under substitution.

That is, if  $\Gamma, x:S \vdash t : T$  and  $\Gamma \vdash s : S$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s]t : T$ .

*Proof:* ...

## Weakening and Permutation

Two other lemmas will be useful.

Weakening tells us that we can *add assumptions* to the context without losing any true typing statements.

*Lemma:* If  $\Gamma \vdash t : T$  and  $x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)$ , then  $\Gamma, x:S \vdash t : T$ .

## Weakening and Permutation

Two other lemmas will be useful.

Weakening tells us that we can *add assumptions* to the context without losing any true typing statements.

*Lemma:* If  $\Gamma \vdash t : T$  and  $x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)$ , then  $\Gamma, x:S \vdash t : T$ .

Permutation tells us that the order of assumptions in (the list)  $\Gamma$  does not matter.

*Lemma:* If  $\Gamma \vdash t : T$  and  $\Delta$  is a permutation of  $\Gamma$ , then  $\Delta \vdash t : T$ .

## Weakening and Permutation

Two other lemmas will be useful.

Weakening tells us that we can *add assumptions* to the context without losing any true typing statements.

*Lemma:* If  $\Gamma \vdash t : T$  and  $x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)$ , then  $\Gamma, x:S \vdash t : T$ .

Moreover, the latter derivation has the same depth as the former.

Permutation tells us that the order of assumptions in (the list)  $\Gamma$  does not matter.

*Lemma:* If  $\Gamma \vdash t : T$  and  $\Delta$  is a permutation of  $\Gamma$ , then  $\Delta \vdash t : T$ .

Moreover, the latter derivation has the same depth as the former.

## The “Substitution Lemma”

*Lemma:* If  $\Gamma, x:S \vdash t : T$  and  $\Gamma \vdash s : S$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s]t : T$ .

I.e., “Types are preserved under substitution.”

# The “Substitution Lemma”

*Lemma:* If  $\Gamma, x:S \vdash t : T$  and  $\Gamma \vdash s : S$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s]t : T$ .

*Proof:* By induction on the derivation of  $\Gamma, x:S \vdash t : T$ . Proceed by cases on the final typing rule used in the derivation.



## The “Substitution Lemma”

*Lemma:* If  $\Gamma, x:S \vdash t : T$  and  $\Gamma \vdash s : S$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s]t : T$ .

*Proof:* By induction on the derivation of  $\Gamma, x:S \vdash t : T$ . Proceed by cases on the final typing rule used in the derivation.

Case T-APP:  $t = t_1 \ t_2$   
 $\Gamma, x:S \vdash t_1 : T_2 \rightarrow T_1$   
 $\Gamma, x:S \vdash t_2 : T_2$   
 $T = T_1$

By the induction hypothesis,  $\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s]t_1 : T_2 \rightarrow T_1$  and  $\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s]t_2 : T_2$ . By T-APP,  $\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s]t_1 \ [x \mapsto s]t_2 : T$ , i.e.,  $\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s](t_1 \ t_2) : T$ .

# The “Substitution Lemma”

*Lemma:* If  $\Gamma, x:S \vdash t : T$  and  $\Gamma \vdash s : S$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s]t : T$ .

*Proof:* By induction on the derivation of  $\Gamma, x:S \vdash t : T$ . Proceed by cases on the final typing rule used in the derivation.

Case T-VAR:  $t = z$   
with  $z:T \in (\Gamma, x:S)$

There are two sub-cases to consider, depending on whether  $z$  is  $x$  or another variable. If  $z = x$ , then  $[x \mapsto s]z = s$ . The required result is then  $\Gamma \vdash s : S$ , which is among the assumptions of the lemma. Otherwise,  $[x \mapsto s]z = z$ , and the desired result is immediate.

# The “Substitution Lemma”

*Lemma:* If  $\Gamma, x:S \vdash t : T$  and  $\Gamma \vdash s : S$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s]t : T$ .

*Proof:* By induction on the derivation of  $\Gamma, x:S \vdash t : T$ . Proceed by cases on the final typing rule used in the derivation.

Case T-ABS:  $t = \lambda y:T_2. t_1 \quad T = T_2 \rightarrow T_1$   
 $\Gamma, x:S, y:T_2 \vdash t_1 : T_1$

By our conventions on choice of bound variable names, we may assume  $x \neq y$  and  $y \notin FV(s)$ . Using *permutation* on the given subderivation, we obtain  $\Gamma, y:T_2, x:S \vdash t_1 : T_1$ . Using *weakening* on the other given derivation ( $\Gamma \vdash s : S$ ), we obtain

$\Gamma, y:T_2 \vdash s : S$ . Now, by the induction hypothesis,

$\Gamma, y:T_2 \vdash [x \mapsto s]t_1 : T_1$ . By T-ABS,

$\Gamma \vdash \lambda y:T_2. [x \mapsto s]t_1 : T_2 \rightarrow T_1$ , i.e. (by the definition of substitution),  $\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s]\lambda y:T_2. t_1 : T_2 \rightarrow T_1$ .

## The “Substitution Lemma”

*Lemma:* If  $\Gamma, x:S \vdash t : T$  and  $\Gamma \vdash s : S$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s]t : T$ .

I.e., “Types are preserved under substitution.”

## Summary: Preservation

*Theorem:* If  $\Gamma \vdash t : T$  and  $t \longrightarrow t'$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$ .

Lemmas to prove:

- ▶ Weakening
- ▶ Permutation
- ▶ Substitution preserves types
- ▶ Reduction preserves types (i.e., preservation)

# Review: Type Systems

To define and verify a type system, you must:

1. Define types
2. Specify typing rules
3. Prove soundness: *progress* and *preservation*