
EDAN65: Compilers, Lecture 07 B Introduction to Attribute Grammars

synthesized, inherited, broadcasting

Görel Hedin Revised: 2017-09-18




Computations on the AST

IMPERATIVE COMPUTATIONS

- Define methods that "do" something.
- Side-effects
 - Modify objects
 - Output to files
- Useful for
 - Execution/Interpretation
 - Unparsing
 - Printing error messages
- Technique
 - Inter-type declared methods
 - Visitors

DECLARATIVE COMPUTATIONS

- Derived properties of nodes
- No side-effects
- Useful for computing
 - Name bindings
 - Types of expressions
 - Error information
- Technique
 - Attribute grammars




Attribute grammars:

Express these properties as *attributes* of AST nodes. Define the attributes by simple directed *equations*. The equations can be solved automatically.


Simple example

attributes and equations



Simple example


synthesized and inherited attributes



Donald Knuth introduced attribute grammars in 1968. The term "inherited" is *not* related to inheritance in object-orientation. Both terms originated during the 1960s.

Simple example

declaring attributes and equations in a (JastAdd) grammar



Note! The grammar is declarative. The order of the equations is irrelevant. JastAdd solves the equation system automatically.

Some shorthands

These rules:

syn int A.z();
eq A.z() = getB().x()+1;

are equivalent to:

syn int A.z() = getB().x()+1;

and we could also use method body syntax:

```
syn int A.z() {
   return getB().x()+1;
}
```

Equations must be free from (externally visible) side effects

While this is formulated as a method, executing it has no side-effects, so this is fine.

```
syn int A.z() {
  return getB().x()+1;
}
```

It is also fine to have assignments to local variables, like this. The effect of changing r is not visible after executing the method.

```
syn int A.z() {
    int r = 0;
    r = getB().x()+1;
    return r;
}
```

Equations must be free from (externally visible) side effects

What is wrong with this attribute grammar?

```
syn int A.x() = Globals.variable;
syn int B.y() {
  Globals.variable++;
  return 3;
}
```

Equations must be free from (externally visible) side effects

What is wrong with this attribute grammar?

```
syn int A.x() = Globals.variable;
syn int B.y() {
   Globals.variable++;
   return 3;
}
```

Equations are not allowed to change other than local data. If they do, they are not equations.

Warning! JastAdd cannot discover if you have sideeffects in your equations! If your definitions rely on global data that is changed, the wrong results will be computed.

Well-formed attribute grammar

Abstract grammar:

A ::= B C; B; C;

Attribute grammar module:

```
aspect SomeAttributes {
   syn int A.z();
   syn int B.x();
   syn int C.v();
   inh int C.y();
   eq A.z() = getB().x()+1;
   eq A.getC().v() = z() + getC().v();
   eq B.x() = 2;
   eq C.v() = 5;
}
```

An attribute grammar is *well-formed*, if there is exactly one defining equation for each attribute in any AST.


JastAdd checks this at compile time.

Well-defined attribute grammar

An attribute grammar is *well-defined*, if it has a computable unique solution for any AST.

An ordinary attribute grammar is well-defined if it is well-formed and *non-circular*.

Is this attribute grammar well-defined?



Circular attribute grammar. Well-formed, but not well-defined.

JastAdd checks circularity at runtime.

It is possible to allow circular attributes, but they will then have to be explicitly declared as circular. See later lecture.


Abstract grammar defines the *structure* of ASTs

Abstract grammar:

abstract Exp; Add : Exp ::= Left:Exp Right:Exp; IdUse : Exp ::= <ID>;

The terminal symbols (like ID) are **intrinsic** attributes – constructed when building the AST. They are not defined by equations.

Example AST for "a + b + c" (an *instance* of the abstract grammar)



Attribute grammars extends abstract grammars with attributes

Abstract grammar:


abstract Exp; Add : Exp ::= Left:Exp Right:Exp; IdUse : Exp ::= <ID>;

Attribute grammar modules:

syn IdDecl IdUse.decl() = ...;

syn Type Exp.type();
eq Add.type() = ...;
eq IdUse.type() = ...;

Example AST for "a + b + c" (an *instance* of the abstract grammar)



Each declared attribute ...


... will have instances in the AST

Attributes and equations

Abstract grammar:

abstract Exp; Add : Exp ::= Left:Exp Right:Exp; IdUse : Exp ::= <ID>;

Example AST for "a + b + c" (an *instance* of the abstract grammar)



Think of attributes as "fields" in the tree nodes.

syn Type ASTCLass.attribute();

Each equation *defines* an attribute in terms of other attributes in the tree.

eq definedAttribute = function of other attributes;

An *evaluator* computes the values of the attributes (solves the equation system). Think of the equations as "methods" called by the evaluator.

Attribute mechanisms

Synthesized* – the equation is in the same node as the attribute

Inherited* – the equation is in an ancestor

Broadcasting* – the equation holds for a complete subtree

Reference – the attribute can be a reference to an AST node.

Parameterized – the attribute can have parameters

NTA – the attribute is a "nonterminal" (a fresh node or subtree)

Collection – the attribute is defined by a set of contributions, instead of by an equation.


Circular – the attribute may depend on itself (solved using fixed-point iteration)

* Treated in this lecture

Synthesized attributes


Synthesized attribute:

The equation is in the same node as the attribute.




For computing properties that depend on information in the node or its children.

Typically used for propagating information *upwards* in the tree.



Draw the attribute and its value!

syn int B.s() = 3;



A ::= B;Β;

syn int B.s() = 3;

Or equivalently, write the declaration and equation separately.

syn int B.s(); eq B.s() = 3;

Or equivalently, write the equation as a method body:

syn int B.s() { return 3;

The method body must be free of externally visible side-effects.

Side-effects are not checked by JastAdd.


The attributes will get inconsistent values.

Warning!

Don't do this!

```
int B.counter = 0; // Ordinary field
syn int B.s() {
  counter++; // Visible side-effect
  return counter;
```


Nota bene!



```
A ::= B;
abstract B;
C : B;
D : B;
E : D;
```

Different subclasses can have different equations.

syn int B.s(); eq C.s() = 4; eq D.s() = 5; eq E.s() = 6; Three different ASTs. Draw the attributes and their values!



A ::= B; abstract B; C : B; D : B; E : D;

Different subclasses can have different equations.


syn int B.s(); eq C.s() = 4; eq D.s() = 5; eq E.s() = 6;

Note that equations can override equations in superclasses, in analogy to how methods can override methods in OO languages.

JastAdd checks that each concrete class has equations for all its synthesized attributes.


A synthesized attribute is similar to a side-effect free method, but:

- its value is cached (memoized)
- circularity is checked at runtime (results in exception)



Inherited attributes

Inherited attribute: The equation is in an ancestor




For computing a property that depends on the *context* of the node.

Typically used for propagating information *downwards* in the tree.


A ::= B C; B; C;

inh int B.i();
eq A.getB().i() = 2;

Draw the attribute and its value!



A ::= B C; B; C;




A ::= Left:B Right:B;
B;

Draw the attributes and their values!

The parent can specify different equations for its different children.


inh int B.i(); eq A.getLeft().i() = 2; eq A.getRight().i() = 3;





A ::= Left:B Right:B; B;

The parent can specify different equations for its different children.

inh int B.i(); eq A.getLeft().i() = 2; eq A.getRight().i() = 3;








```
A ::= Left:B Right:B;
B ::= C D;
C;
D ::= E;
E;
```

The equations hold for the complete children subtrees.

eq A.getLeft().i() = 2; eq A.getRight().i() = 3; inh int C.i(); inh int E.i(); Draw the attributes and their values!




```
A ::= Left:B Right:B;
B ::= C D;
C;
D ::= E;
E;
```

The equations hold for the complete children subtrees.

eq A.getLeft().i() = 2; eq A.getRight().i() = 3; inh int C.i(); inh int E.i();

This is called *broadcasting*.




```
A ::= Left:B Right:B;
B ::= C D;
C;
D ::= E;
E;
```

An equation can be overruled in a subtree. The nearest equation holds.

```
eq A.getLeft().i() = 2;
eq A.getRight().i() = 3;
eq B.getD().i() = i() + 5;
inh int B.i();
inh int C.i();
inh int E.i();
```


Draw the attributes and their values!



```
A ::= Left:B Right:B;
B ::= C D;
C;
D ::= E;
E;
```

An equation can be overruled in a subtree. The nearest equation holds.

```
eq A.getLeft().i() = 2;
eq A.getRight().i() = 3;
eq B.getD().i() = i() + 5;
inh int B.i();
inh int C.i();
inh int E.i();
```




A ::= B C; B ::= D; C ::= D; D;

There must be an equation for each attribute in any possible AST.

What is the problem with this grammar?

eq B.getD().i() = 6; inh int D.i(); Draw the attributes and their values!



A ::= B C; B ::= D; C ::= D; D;


There must be an equation for each attribute in any possible AST.

What is the problem with this grammar?

eq B.getD().i() = 6; inh int D.i();

Where can we add an equation to solve the problem?

In C or A. Or in their superclass ASTNode.



This attribute has no equation! JastAdd will find this and report an error.


Broadcasting of inherited attributes


Traditional AG:

Equation for inherited attribute must be in the immediate parent. Leads to "**copy rules**".

JastAdd:

Equation for inherited attribute is "broadcasted" to complete subtree. No "copy rules" are needed.






A ::= B C; B ::= D; C ::= D; D;

The parent can write an equation that holds for all children.

eq A.getChild().i() = 8; inh int D.i(); Draw the attributes and their values!




A ::= B C; B ::= D; C ::= D; D;


The parent can write an equation that holds for all children.

eq A.getChild().i() = 8; inh int D.i();

This is equivalent to writing an equation for each child:

eq A.getB().i() = 8; eq A.getC().i() = 8; inh int D.i();







Draw the attributes and their values!

For list children, an index can be used in the equation


eq A.getB(int index).i() = (index+1) * (index+1); inh int C.i();





This is useful, for example, when defining name analysis with declare-before-use semantics.

Demand evaluation



Summary questions

- What is an attribute grammar?
- What is an intrinsic attribute?
- What is an externally visible side-effect? Why are they not allowed in the equations?
- What is a synthesized attribute?
- What is an inherited attribute?
- What is broadcasting?
- What is the difference between a declarative and an imperative specification?
- What is demand evaluation?
- Why are attributes cached?

You can now do all of Assignment 3. But it is recommended to do the 7B quiz first!