



EDAF40/EDAN40: Functional Programming Introduction


Jacek Malec

Dept. of Computer Science, Lund University, Sweden

March 25th, 2019



Administrativa



Administrativa

- Standard notification: 140/200h total compared with 20/28h with lecturer(s) + 16/6 with TAs.
- Language-learning period in the beginning (syntax, basics).
- Two/Three not too tough programming assignments. (15, 10, 6 hrs)
- Kursombud (course representative) must be chosen. Today!
- Programming assignments verified by you, then machine (you get tests) and then teaching assistants (Sven Gestegård Robertz and Noric Couderc, possibly more).
- Any problems (deadlines?) – please discuss **IN ADVANCE** with me!
- Slides based a lot on Lennart Andersson and Lennart Ohlsson's material. Thank you.



Important!

Please read:

<http://cs.lth.se/utbildning/samarbete-eller-fusk/>



Textbooks

- 1 Graham Hutton, *Programming in Haskell*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 2016, ISBN 978-1316626221
- 2 Bryan O'Sullivan, Don Stewart, and John Goerzen, *Real World Haskell*, O'Reilly Media, 2008, ISBN 0-596-51498-0
- 3 Miran Lipovača, *Learn You a Haskell for Great Good!*, No Starch Press, 2011, ISBN 1-59327-283-9
- 4 Paul Chiusano and Rúnar Bjarnason, *Functional Programming in Scala*. Manning Publications, 2014, ISBN: 9781617290657.
- 5 Simon Thompson, *Haskell - The Craft of Functional Programming*, 3rd edition, Addison-Wesley 2011, ISBN 0-201-88295-7



Software

- Glasgow Haskell Compiler, or `ghc`
- Interpreter is called `ghci`
- Currently in its version 7.10.3. (@ login.student.lth.se), or higher
- *.student.lth.se all run this version (please report issues)
- consider installing haskell-stack environment on your machine (<http://haskellstack.org>)



Suggestions

- Read the assignment completely before you begin coding;
- Read the assignment text **after** the official announcement date;
- Complain to me or to a course student representative, if something does not work or is unclear;
- Check the course web;
- Do not mail `fp@cs.lth.se` unless you are filing in a **working** solution to an assignment;
- Do not mail `fp@cs.lth.se` if you want to contact a human;
- Plan your time!
- Use our time (JM Mo 15.30-16.30, NC ..., SGR ..., AR during the labs)!



What is functional programming?

“Functional programming is so called because a program consists entirely of functions. [...] These functions are much like ordinary mathematical functions [...] defined by ordinary equations.”

(John Hughes)



A function

Let A and B be arbitrary sets.

Any subset of $A \times B$ will be called a *relation* from A to B .

A relation $R \subset A \times B$ is a *function* if and only if

$$\forall x \in A \forall y_1, y_2 \in B ((x, y_1) \in R \wedge (x, y_2) \in R) \rightarrow (y_1 = y_2)$$



A function

Our domain and range here: natural numbers

$$\begin{aligned} f\ 0 &= 1 \\ f\ n &= n * f\ (n-1) \end{aligned}$$



A function

Our domain and range here: natural numbers

$$\begin{aligned} f\ 0 &= 1 \\ f\ n &= n * f\ (n-1) \end{aligned}$$

mathematical induction vs. computational recursion vs.
mathematical recursion



Equals for equals

If

$$\begin{aligned} f\ 0 &= 1 \\ f\ n &= n * f\ (n-1) \end{aligned}$$

then what is $f\ 3$?



Equals for equals

If

```
f 0 = 1
f n = n * f (n-1)
```

then what is $f\ 3$?

```
f 3 = 3 * f 2
    = 3 * 2 * f 1
    =    6 * 1 * f 0
    =          6 * 1
    = 6
```

called also *rewrite semantics*



Imperative programming

Think like a computer:

```
public int f(int x) {
    int y = 1;
    for (int i=1; i<=x; i++) {
        y = y*i;
    }
    return y;
}
```

Then

$f(3) = y = y*i = \text{????}$



The basic principle

NO ASSIGNMENTS!



The basic principle

NO ASSIGNMENTS!

not exactly, but the meaning is:

NO SIDE EFFECTS!



The problem with side effects

Example:

```
public int f(int x) {
    int t1 = g(x) + g(x);
    int t2 = 2*g(x);
    return t1-t2;
}
```



The problem with side effects

Example:

```
public int f(int x) {
    int t1 = g(x) + g(x);
    int t2 = 2*g(x);
    return t1-t2;
}
```

Then **of course**

$$f(x) = t1-t2 = g(x) + g(x) - 2*g(x) = 0$$



The problem with side effects

Example:

```
public int f(int x) {
    int t1 = g(x) + g(x);
    int t2 = 2*g(x);
    return t1-t2;
}
```

Then **of course**

$$f(x) = t1-t2 = g(x) + g(x) - 2*g(x) = 0$$

But suppose:

```
public int g(int x) {
    int y = input.nextInt();
    return y; }
}
```



The concept of a variable

Is a variable the name of a

memory cell

or the name of an

expression?



The core of functional programming

Functional programming
=

ordinary programming – assignments / side effects

It provides good support for

- higher order functions
- infinite data structures
- lazy evaluation



Recursion: The sum of a list

```
sum1 [] = 0
sum1 (x:xs) = x + (sum1 xs)
```

Note1: *recursion* is intimately connected to *computability*.

Note2: $(x:xs)$ - a very important idiom in FP/Haskell.



Higher order functions

```
sum1 [] = 0
sum1 (x:xs) = x + (sum1 xs)
```

```
accumulate f i [] = i
accumulate f i (x:xs) = f x (accumulate f i xs)
```



Higher order functions

```
sum1 [] = 0
sum1 (x:xs) = x + (sum1 xs)
```

```
accumulate f i [] = i
accumulate f i (x:xs) = f x (accumulate f i xs)
```

```
sum2 = accumulate (+) 0
```



Higher order functions

```
sum1 [] = 0
sum1 (x:xs) = x + (sum1 xs)

accumulate f i [] = i
accumulate f i (x:xs) = f x (accumulate f i xs)


sum2 = accumulate (+) 0

product2 = accumulate (*) 1
anyTrue2 = accumulate (||) False
allTrue2 = accumulate (&&) True
```



Data flow programming

The running sums of a list of numbers:



Infinite lists

Primes computed with Eratosthenes sieve:

```
primes = sieve [2..]
  where
    sieve (n:ns) =
      n : sieve [ x | x <- ns, x `mod` n > 0 ]
```

Is this programming? Or just math?




Running sums

```
runningSums xs = theSolution
  where
    theSolution = zipWith (+) xs (0:theSolution)
```



Data flow programming



Exact approximations

The Taylor series of the exponential function:

$$e^x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^i}{i!}$$



Exact approximations

The Taylor series of the exponential function:

$$e^x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^i}{i!}$$

can be implemented exactly!



Exact approximations

The Taylor series of the exponential function:

$$e^x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^i}{i!}$$

can be implemented exactly!

for example like a list of approximations:

```
eExp x = runningSums [ (x^i)/(fac i) | i <- [0..] ]
```