Contents of Lecture 5: Expressions

- Precedence and associativity
- Generic selections
- Arrays vs pointers
- Compound literals
- Alignment
- Divide and remainder
- Relational and equality expressions

Precedence and associativity

- All operators are ordered according to their precedence.
- For instance * has higher precedence than +.
- The associativity specifies in which order multiple operators with the same precedence should be evaluated.
- The binary operators are left-associative.
- For instance a b c is evaluated as (a b) c.
- The unary, the assignment operators, and the conditional expression are right-associative.
- For instance a = b = c means a = (b = c).
- a += b += c means a += (b += c).
- If initially a = 1, b = 10 and c = 100, then the above results in b = 110 and a = 111.
- Thus the value of b += c is the new value of b.

More examples

• What is the value of:

More examples

• The value on the previous slide is:

Evaluation of an expression

- Recall that operands smaller than int are converted either to int or unsigned int.
- Also recall from that the usual arithmetic conversions determine the type of the result of an operation.
- For instance:

```
unsigned char a = 1;
unsigned short b = 2;
double
c = a / b;
a = c + 1;
```

- First both a and b are converted to int.
- The type of the quotient is int which is then converted to double.
- The type of the sum is double.

Assignment

- At an assignment the value is converted to the type of the modified variable.
- In the previous example:

```
unsigned char a = 1;
unsigned short b = 2;
double
c = a / b;
```

- What can we do to get 0.5 assigned to c?
- What about:

```
c = (double)(a / b); // No effect!
```

One of the operands must be converted to double!

$$c = (double)a / b;$$
 // OK. $c = 0.5$

Exceptional conditions

- Note the difference between the following:
 - the value of an operation cannot be represented in the type of the expression
 - the value assigned to a variable cannot be represented in the type of the variable
- In the former case we have an overflow which can result in undefined behavior and a crash.
- In the latter case we have an the implementation must document what happens — for integers usually as many bits that fit are stored.

```
unsigned char a;
signed char b;
float c;
a = 0xfff;
b = 0xfff;
c = 1e100;
```

• What are the values of a, b, and c?

Values

- \bullet a = 255
- b = -1
- \circ c = INFINITY
- The macro INFINITY is defined in <math.h>

Another quiz

• What is the value of the following:

```
unsigned char uc = 255;
```

Overflow

- The value in the previous slide is 256 since uc is integer promoted to the type int and then two operands of type int are added.
- What about:

```
#include <limits.h>
int a = INT_MAX;
a + 1;
```

The result

- In the previous slide, the type of the result is int but the sum cannot be represented in that type.
- For signed integers, an overflow triggers undefined behavior.
- For unsigned integers, an overflow "wraps around", i.e. all unsigned arithmetic is performed modulo one greater than the maximum value of the type:

```
unsigned int     a = UINT_MAX;
a + 1; // zero
```

• For floating point on most machines the result becomes INFINITY.

Struct parameter

• Given:

 Assume we have calculated x and y and want to print them as a point:

```
point_t tmp;

tmp.x = x;

tmp.y = y;

print(tmp);
```

We cannot use a cast instead

- For scalar parameters, we can either pass the scalar value, or if there is no type for the parameter (e.g. as for printf).
- We cannot make a cast for an aggregate type.
- Aggregate types are structs/unions and arrays.
- What should we do?
- As above or using the C99 compound literal.
- Compound literals were first used in Ken Thompson's C compiler for the Plan9 operating system — recall Ken Thompson invented UNIX (and UTF-8 and many other things).

Compound literals

- Compound literals look like casts (explicit conversions) but they are different.
- One purpose of compound literals is to make it possible to create constants for structs:

```
(point_t) { 1.23, 4.56 };
```

• We can pass it to the print function:

```
int main(void)
{
    print((point_t) { 1.23, 4.56 });
}
```

So what is a compound literal really and how it is implemented in C compilers?

Details of compound literals

- A compound literal is simply an anonymous variable initialized using special syntax.
- Since it's a normal object, we can take its address:

```
void print(point_t*);
int main(void)
{
      print(&(point_t) { 1.23, 4.56 });
}
```

• We can also use designated initializers:

```
print(\&(point_t) \{ .x = 1.23, .y = 4.56 \});
```

Compound literals for other types

• We can use compound literals for other types as well:

```
int*    p = (int[]){ 1, 2, 3 };
int    a = (int){ 1 };
```

• There is no purpose to use compound literals for scalar types, however.

NaN and relational and equality expressions

- Floating point comparisons with NaN are always false.
- Recall: NaN stands for not-a-number and is the value of expressions which are not mathematically defined such as:

$$0/0 \quad \infty/\infty \quad \infty-\infty$$

Thus we should not change comparisons such as

• into:

Pointers and relational and equality expressions

- The relational expressions are: < <= > >=
- The equality expressions are: == !=
- Pointers can be compared in relational expressions only if they point to the same array object.
- For relational expressions, scalar variables are treated as arrays with one element.
- The compiler must ensure that the first byte after the array is a valid address.
- Any valid pointers to compatible types can be compared in equality expressions.

Valid optimization of array references

```
double* p = a;
double a[N];
                                   double* end = &a[N];
for (i = 0; i < N; ++i)
       x += a[i];
                                   while (p < end)
                                          x += *p++;
```

- Don't do this by hand, instead use the command: cc -02
- Do this only if you are not allowed to use compiler optimizations.
- In the course EDA230 Optimizing Compilers it is taught how this and other optimizations are implemented.

Invalid optimization of array references

```
double a[N]; double* p = &a[N]; for (i = N-1; i >= 0; --i) while (--p >= a) x += a[i]; x += *p;
```

- In the last iteration p == a[-1] in the comparison.
- The compiler is not required to make that address valid.
- The code to the right triggers undefined behavior.

Modifications of variables

- A sequence point, for example a semicolon, is used in C to determine when side effects have been performed.
- The most important side effect is the modification of a variable.
- A variable may only be modified once between two sequence points.
- The following are invalid:

```
a = a = 1;
b = ++b;
++c * c--;
```

• In addition, a variable may not be read after a modification before the next sequence point. Therefore also wrong:

```
b = (a = 1) + (a * 2);
```

• The code is invalid if the left operand of the add is evaluated first — which it may be since the evaluation order is unspecified.

Comma expression

jitem A comma expression can be used when multiple variables should be initialized in a for loop:

```
for (i = 0, p = list; p != NULL; p = p->next)
    /* ... */
```

- In a comma expression first the left operand is evaluated, and then the right operand.
- There is a sequence point between the evaluations of the operands.
- The value of a comma expression is the value of the right operand.
- To use a comma expression in an argument list, it must be enclosed in parentheses:

```
printf("%d\n", (1, 2)); // prints 2
```

Alignment of pointers

- Recall: if a type has an alignment b it means objects of that type should have an address that is a multiple of b.
- The operator _Alignof takes a type name and gives the alignment of that type represented as size_t.
- Including <stdalign.h> we can write alignof instead:

```
printf("%zu\n", alignof(double));
```

 Suppose now we allocate 20 bytes and wish to store an object of type double there:

```
data[20];
char
double*
                p;
p = (double*)data;
                         // No — probably not aligned!
*p = x;
```

• What can we do?

Aligning a pointer

- We need to add a number to p so that its value becomes a multiple of 8.
- One attempt is:

```
unsigned a = (unsigned)p; // wrong type.
unsigned r = a % 8;

if (r != 0)
    a += 8 - r;
p = (double*)a; // might not work.
```

- If p = 15 then r = 7 and we add 1 to a.
- An alternative is to calculate: (p+7)/8*8 then we don't need to branch.

$$(15+7)/8*8 = 22/8*8 = 2*8 = 16.$$

Remainder and division are expensive however.

Using bitwise operators

- We can write p + 7 as x * 8 + y, where $0 \le y \le 7$.
- The purpose of dividing and multiplying is to get rid of y.
- How can we do that faster than using division and multiplication?
- Bitwise operators are useful for this.
- Dividing and multiplying by 8 is equivalent to clearing the bits which contribute to y.
- $p + 7 = 15 + 7 = 22 = 16 + 4 + 2 = 10110_2$
- The value of the bitwise complement operator is the operand with every bit inverted.
- That is, we should do a bitwise and with the bitwise complement of 111_2 , in C: $^{\sim}7$:

```
unsigned a = (unsigned)p; // still wrong type.
```

The uintptr_t

- Since a pointer may be 64 bits and an unsigned int only 16 bits the above code is wrong.
- We should use the type uintptr_t defined in the header file <stdint.h>.
- Sometimes, such as when allocating memory buffers for the Cell processor we need to align pointers like we did above.
- If we use a compiler with support for VLA's such as gcc we can allocate memory for a matrix as in Example 1.4.13 as we saw in Lecture 4.
- If we use a compiler without VLA support we can do as in Example 1.4.12.

Example 1.4.12

- The goals with Example 1.4.12 are:
 - to allocate memory with only one call to malloc
 - to be able to use matrix syntax: a[i][j]
 - to be portable to an ANSI C compiler or C11 compiler without VLA support
- The function alloc allocates memory for a matrix with an element size specified by block which must be a power of 2.
- We will not go into the details it is essentially exactly what we just saw with a value of block being 8.

The C preprocessor

- Predefined macros
- Macro replacement
- Conditional inclusion
- Source file inclusion
- Line control
- Error directive
- Pragma directive
- Null directive
- Predefined macro names
- Pragma operator

Predefined macros: useful standard macros

- ___FILE__ expands to the source file name.
- LINE expands to the current line number.
- DATE expands to the date of translation.
- ___TIME___ expands to the time of translation.
- STDC expands to 1 if the implementation is conforming.
- __STDC_HOSTED__ expands to 1 if the implementation is hosted, and to 0 if it is free-standing.
- __STDC_VERSION__ expands to 199901L.

Predefined macros: implementation-defined

- __STDC_IEC_559__ expands to 1 if IEC 60559/IEEE 754 is supported (except complex arithmetic).
- __STDC_IEC_559_COMPLEX__ expands to 1 if complex arithmetic in IEC 60559/IEEE 754 is supported.
- __STDC_ISO_10646___ expands to an integer yyyymmL to indicate which values of wchar t are supported.
- If a predefined macro is undefined then behavior is undefined.

Defining macros

```
#define obj (a) a+1
#define bad(a) a+1 // Wrong.
#define good(a) (a+1) // Use parentheses.

obj(3) => (a) a+1(3)
bad(3)*10 => 3+1*10
good(3)*10 => (3+1)*10
(good)(3)*10 => (good)(3)*10
```

- No whitespace between macro name and left parenthesis in function-like macro.
- A function-like macro not followed by left parenthesis is not expanded.

Conditional inclusion

```
#define DEBUG
#ifdef DEBUG
        printf("here we go: %s %d\n", __FILE__, __LINE__);
#endif
#ifndef DEBUG
#endif
#if expr1
#elif expr2
#elif expr3
#else
#endif
```

More directives

```
#define DEBUG 12
#define DEBUG 13 // invalid: cannot redefine a macro
#undef DEBUG
#define DEBUG 13 // OK. undefined first
#line 9999 "a.c" // will set LINE and FILE
#ifndef __STDC__
#error this will not with a pre-ANSI compiler!
#endif
#pragma directive from user to compiler
_Pragma("directive from user to compiler")
```

operator "stringizer"

 Operator # must precede a macro parameter and it expands to a string.

```
#define xstr(a) #a
#define str(b) xstr(b)
#define c
            12
xstr(c)
           => "c"
              => "12"
str(c)
#define fatal(expr) {
        fprintf(stderr, "%s line %d in \"%s\": "
        "fatal error %s = %d\n", __FILE__,
        __LINE__, __func__, #expr, expr); exit(1); }
int x = 2;
fatal(x); => a.c line 15 in "main": fatal error x = 2
```

operator

Operator ## concatenates the tokens to the left and right.

```
#define name(id, type) id##type

name(x,int) => xint

#define a  x ## y
#define xy 12
#int b = a;  // initializes b to 12;
```

__VA_ARGS__

- Sometimes it is convenient to a have a variable number of arguments to a function-like macro, eg when using printf.
- Without ___VA_ARGS___, the number of arguments must match the number of parameters.

Variable number of arguments in macros

```
#ifdef DEBUG
#define pr(...) fprintf(stderr, __VA_ARGS__);
#else
#define pr(...) /* do nothing. */
#endif
int x = 1, y = 3;
pr("x = %d, y = %d\n", x, y); => x = 1, y = 3
```

Macros can improve performance

- Since macros are expanded in the called function they eliminate the overhead of calling functions.
- Macros can cause problems however:

• Use parentheses:

```
#define square(a) ((a)*(a))

y = square(cos(x)) // valid but slow
z = square(++y) // wrong
```

- Now the cos function is called twice!
- Modifying y twice is wrong.

Macros with statements

 Suppose we write want to swap the values of two variables using a macro:

- What happens?
- How about:

A compound statement cannot be followed by a semicolon.

Using do-while loops

• We can do as follows:

```
#define SWAP(a, b) do { int tmp = a; a = b; b = tmp; } while (0)
```

• This macro will solve both of the previous problems.