

## Optimising Compilers: Exercises 5

```
float  a[N][M], b[N][M], c[N][M];

void h()
{
    int    i;
    int    j;

    for (i = 2; i < 100; i++) {
        for (j = 2 + 3 * i; j < 1000 - i; j++) {
            a[ i ][ j ] = a[ i - 2 ][ j + 3 ];
            b[ i ][ j ] = b[ i      ][ j - 2 ];
            c[ i ][ j ] = c[ i + 1 ][ j + 2 ];
        }
    }
}
```

Figure 1: Example loop.

1. Show the distance matrix  $\mathbf{D}$  of the loop  $\mathbf{L}$  above.
2. Find a unimodular matrix  $\mathbf{U}$  so that the inner loop can execute in parallel.
3. Find the loop limits of the new loop  $\mathbf{L}_U$ .

### Solutions

1. There are three pairs of references, and we should do data dependence analysis for each pair. For each pair, let the reference on the left be denoted  $\mathbf{A}$  and the one on the right be denoted  $\mathbf{B}$ . We need to determine whether the equation

$$\mathbf{IA} + \mathbf{a}_0 = \mathbf{JB} + \mathbf{b}_0$$

has a solution.

For the matrix  $\mathbf{a}$  we find the data dependence and the dependence distance as follows. Firstly, we have

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{a}_0 = ( 0 \ 0 ),$$

and

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{b}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here  $\mathbf{I} = (i_1, j_1)$  and  $\mathbf{J} = (i_2, j_2)$  represent the index variables. The equation becomes

$$(\mathbf{I}; \mathbf{J}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} \\ -\mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{b}_0 - \mathbf{a}_0.$$

or

$$\begin{pmatrix} i_1 & j_1 & i_2 & j_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We see that

$$i_1 - i_2 = -2$$

and

$$j_1 - j_2 = 3.$$

Let us write  $i_1 = t_1$  and  $i_2 = t_1 + 2$ . Also,  $j_1 = t_2$  and  $j_2 = t_2 - 3$ . Thus  $\mathbf{I} = (t_1, t_2)$  and  $\mathbf{J} = (t_1 + 2, t_2 - 3)$  is a solution to the dependence equation, which we can see lies within the loop bounds. The dependence distance, in general,  $\mathbf{d}$  is  $\mathbf{0}$  if  $\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{J}$ ,  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{J}$ , if  $\mathbf{J} \prec \mathbf{I}$ , and  $\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{I}$ , if  $\mathbf{I} \prec \mathbf{J}$ . In our case  $\mathbf{d} = (2, -3)$ , which means the write instruction accesses a particular matrix element before that element is accessed by the read instruction.

For matrix  $\mathbf{b}$ , we have

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{a}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{b}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The equation becomes

$$(\mathbf{I}; \mathbf{J}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} \\ -\mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{b}_0 - \mathbf{a}_0.$$

or

$$(i_1 \ j_1 \ i_2 \ j_2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = (0 \ -2) - (0 \ 0).$$

We see that

$$i_1 - i_2 = 0$$

and

$$j_1 - j_2 = -2.$$

Let us write  $i_1 = t_1$  and  $i_2 = t_1$ . Also,  $j_1 = t_2$  and  $j_2 = t_2 + 3$ . Thus  $\mathbf{I} = (t_1, t_2)$  and  $\mathbf{J} = (t_1, t_2 + 2)$  is a solution to the dependence equation, which we again can see lies within the loop bounds. The dependence distance is  $\mathbf{d} = (0, 2)$ , which again means the write accesses a particular matrix element before that element is accessed by the read.

Finally, for matrix  $\mathbf{c}$ , we have

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{a}_0 = (0 \ 0),$$

and

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{b}_0 = (1 \ 2).$$

The equation becomes

$$(\mathbf{I}; \mathbf{J}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} \\ -\mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{b}_0 - \mathbf{a}_0.$$

or

$$(i_1 \ j_1 \ i_2 \ j_2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = (1 \ 2) - (0 \ 0).$$

We see that

$$i_1 - i_2 = 1$$

and

$$j_1 - j_2 = 2.$$

Let us write  $i_1 = t_1$  and  $i_2 = t_1 - 1$ . Also,  $j_1 = t_2$  and  $j_2 = t_2 - 2$ . Thus  $\mathbf{I} = (t_1, t_2)$  and  $\mathbf{J} = (t_1 - 1, t_2 - 2)$  is a solution to the dependence equation, which we again can see lies within the loop bounds. To determine the dependence distance, we check whether  $\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{I}$  or  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{J}$  gives a lexicographically positive distance vector. In this case,  $\mathbf{0} \prec \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{J}$ , so, the dependence distance is  $\mathbf{d} = (1, 2)$ , and this time the read accesses a particular matrix element before that element is accessed by the write.

The distance matrix becomes

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. We want to find a unimodular matrix  $\mathbf{U}$  so that for  $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{U}$  each element in the first column is at least one. Let  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m)$  denote the first column of  $\mathbf{U}$  and let the rows of  $\mathbf{D}$  be denoted by  $\mathbf{d}_i$ . Thus for each  $\mathbf{d}_i$  we must have  $\mathbf{d}_i\mathbf{u} \geq 1$ .

With the distance matrix found in the previous question, no loop  $L_i$  can be executed in parallel. Searching for a transformation, we get the following system of inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} 2u_1 - 3u_2 &\geq 1 \\ 2u_2 &\geq 1 \\ u_1 + 2u_2 &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

We interchange the first two equations:

$$\begin{aligned} 2u_2 &\geq 1 \\ 2u_1 - 3u_2 &\geq 1 \\ u_1 + 2u_2 &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since there are no upper bounds on  $u_i$ , there are infinitely many solutions to this equation. We choose the smallest integer  $u_i$  which satisfies the inequalities. So,  $u_2$  is chosen as  $\lceil 1/2 \rceil = 1$ . Then we proceed with  $u_1$ , for which there are two inequalities:  $u_1 \geq \lceil (1 + 3u_2)/2 \rceil = 2$  and  $u_1 \geq \lceil (1 - 2u_2) \rceil = -1$ , so  $u_1$  is chosen as the maximum of these, or  $u_1 \leftarrow 2$ . We get

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The new loop nest  $\mathbf{L}_U$  thus carries all dependences in the outermost loop  $L_1$ , with the consequence that  $L_2$  can execute in parallel.

3. To find the loop limits of the transformed loop  $\mathbf{L}_U$ , we first express the original index variables (ie,  $i$  and  $j$ ) using the new:

$$\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{U}^{-1} = (k_1 k_2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This gives  $i = k_2$  and  $j = k_1 - 2k_2$ . We then insert this into the loop bound inequalities of the original loop:

$$2 \leq i \leq 99$$

and

$$2 + 3i \leq j \leq 999 - i.$$

That is,

$$2 \leq k_2 \leq 99$$

and

$$2 + 3k_2 \leq k_1 - 2k_2 \leq 999 - k_2.$$

Solving this using Fourier-Motzkin elimination gives:

$$12 \leq k_1 \leq 1098$$

and

$$\max(2, k_1 - 999) \leq k_2 \leq \min(99, \lfloor (-2 + k_1)/5 \rfloor).$$

The resulting program is demonstrated in on the following page.

```

#include <stdio.h>

#define MIN(a, b) ((a)<(b)?(a):(b))
#define MAX(a, b) ((a)>(b)?(a):(b))

int main()
{
    int i, j;
    int k1, k2;
    int sum;
    int iterations;

    sum = iterations = 0;
    for (i = 2; i < 100; ++i) {
        for (j = 2 + 3 * i; j < 1000 - i; ++j) {
            sum += i * j;
            iterations += 1;
            //printf("S(%d, %d)\n", i, j);
        }
    }

    printf("Original loop:\n");
    printf("sum = %d\n", sum);
    printf("iterations = %d\n", iterations);
    printf("\n");

    sum = iterations = 0;
    for (k1 = 12; k1 <= 1098; ++k1) {
        for (k2 = MAX(2, k1-999); k2 <= MIN(99, (-2+k1)/5); ++k2) {
            i = k2;
            j = k1 - 2 * k2;
            sum += i * j;
            iterations += 1;
            //printf("T(%d, %d)\n", i, j);
        }
    }

    printf("New loop:\n");
    printf("sum = %d\n", sum);
    printf("iterations = %d\n", iterations);

    return 0;
}

```